



Aaron Davis  
<aholedavis@sbcglobal.net>

03/03/2010 09:29 PM

Please respond to  
Aaron Davis  
<aholedavis@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.


The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

Thank you for time and consideration in this matter. Clear Creek is important to many different types of people and uses. My first experience at Clear Creek was to go wild pig hunting. This management area has provided my family many days and nights of camping and outdoor enjoyment.

Again Thank You For Your Time in this Matter.

Sincerely,

Aaron Davis,  
Tri-County Offroad Club  
316 Becky Way



Waterford, CA 95386





ahmed nasus  
<anasus1272@gmail.com>

03/03/2010 03:27 PM

Please respond to  
ahmed nasus  
<anasus1272@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

ahmed nasus,  
131 stillwells corner road  
freehold, NJ 07728-3305



AJ BILA  
<BILALFOORING@CENTURY  
YTEL.NET>

03/03/2010 02:42 PM

Please respond to  
AJ BILA  
<BILALFOORING@CENTURY  
YTEL.NET>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

AJ BILA,  
BILAFLOORING  
477 PETITTS CIR  
PAGOSASPRINGS, CO 81147



Al Larrus  
<kladtech@sbcglobal.net>

03/04/2010 09:27 AM

Please respond to  
Al Larrus  
<kladtech@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Al Larrus,  
Klad Tech  
3520 Victor St.  
Santa Clara, CA 95054-2320



Alex Seyedi  
<AESeyedi@aol.com>

03/05/2010 11:18 PM

Please respond to  
Alex Seyedi  
<AESeyedi@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San

Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing

this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Alex Seyedi,  
2406 Eva Ct  
campbell , CA 95008-3704



**Allen Marker**  
<moyoman@shol.com>

03/03/2010 03:25 PM

Please respond to  
Allen Marker  
<moyoman@shol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Allen Marker,  
Marker Enterprises  
1993 W. Bakersville-Edie Rd.  
Somerset, PA 15501



Alton Tuttle <cal@mud.edu>

03/03/2010 02:02 PM

Please respond to  
Alton Tuttle <cal@mud.edu>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Alton Tuttle,  
122 E. Brookdale Pl  
Fullerton, CA 92832



Andres Caicedo  
<Andres@caicedo.net>

03/03/2010 08:57 PM

Please respond to  
Andres Caicedo  
<Andres@caicedo.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San

Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

Sincerely,

Andres Caicedo,  
3161 Stanley Blvd

Lafayette, CA 94549



Andrew Dickson  
<sharetrails@number88.com>

03/03/2010 02:34 PM

Please respond to  
Andrew Dickson  
<sharetrails@number88.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

Please make this letter part of the permanent record regarding any management decisions affecting the CCMA.

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

Sincerely,

Andrew Dickson,  
4274 gold run dr  
Oakley, CA 94561



andrew speights  
<50dout@sbcglobal.net>

03/03/2010 10:23 PM

Please respond to  
andrew speights  
<50dout@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San

Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing

this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

andrew speights,  
Andrew E. Speights electrical service  
314 nevada st  
redwood city, CA 94062-9406



Ann Branch  
<annhas12@aol.com>

03/03/2010 07:05 PM

Please respond to  
Ann Branch  
<annhas12@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

Sincerely,

Ann Branch,  
25746 Rd. 204  
Exeter, CA 93221



Anthony Rodriguez  
<ajrodriguez01@hotmail.com>

03/03/2010 08:36 PM

Please respond to  
Anthony Rodriguez  
<ajrodriguez01@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

Sincerely,

Anthony Rodriguez,  
8425 blossom hill ct  
Citrus Heights, CA 95610



"Bagwell, Wright"  
<WBagwell@ea.com>  
03/05/2010 06:35 PM

To "cahormp@ca.blm.gov" <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: [cahormp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:cahormp@ca.blm.gov)

Friday, March 05, 2010

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area."

Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect

analysis must be included in this planning process.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Werner Bagwell  
3437 26<sup>th</sup> st  
San Francisco, CA 94110



**Ben Brookens**  
<[bbrookens@sbcglobal.net](mailto:bbrookens@sbcglobal.net)>

03/03/2010 02:03 PM

Please respond to  
Ben Brookens  
<[bbrookens@sbcglobal.net](mailto:bbrookens@sbcglobal.net)>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<[cahormp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:cahormp@ca.blm.gov)>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

My family and I are avid OHV users who feel the loss of Clear Creek. We, and nobody we know have experienced any negative affects from using the Clear Creek riding area. What we have experienced is the pure joy of riding the trails offered at Clear Creek .

Sincerely,

Ben Brookens,  
4386 Arcadian Dr  
Castro Valley, CA 94546



**Ben Russell**  
<Bn.russ123@gmail.com>

03/05/2010 02:46 AM

Please respond to  
Ben Russell  
<Bn.russ123@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Ben Russell,  
4284 e 114th ave  
Thornton, CO 80233



benny king  
<bennykingyamaha@peoplepc.com>

03/04/2010 03:28 PM

Please respond to  
benny king  
<bennykingyamaha@peoplepc.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area."

Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

benny king ,  
639 w. curtis st.  
salinas, CA 93906-3223



benny king  
<bennykingyamaha@peoplepc.com>

03/04/2010 12:06 PM

Please respond to  
benny king  
<bennykingyamaha@peoplepc.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension. **IT IS AN OUTRAGE MY LAND CLOSED OFF TO ME. THE EXPERIENCE I HAVE WHEN I GO IS ALL THAT HAS KEPT ME FROM GOING INSANE !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!**

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

benny king,  
639 w. curtis st.  
salinas, CA 93906-3223



**Beverly Ashley**  
<bashley101@gmail.com>

03/03/2010 05:07 PM

Please respond to  
Beverly Ashley  
<bashley101@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

Sincerely,

Beverly Ashley,  
395 Sierra Madre Villa  
Pasadena, CA 91107-2902



bill naps <naps@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 09:31 PM

Please respond to  
bill naps <naps@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

bill naps,  
1199 doyle circle  
santa clara, CA 95054



**BILLY WALTRIP**  
<billyray718@earthlink.net>

03/05/2010 04:58 PM

Please respond to  
BILLY WALTRIP  
<billyray718@earthlink.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

**BILLY WALTRIP,**  
20855 BUENA VISTA RD  
SALINAS, CA 93908



Blake Bogner  
<bognerorders@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 11:40 PM

Please respond to  
Blake Bogner  
<bognerorders@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Clear Creek was a family and friends tradition not only for my family and I but many others as well. We were stripped of our rights for some excuse made up by environmentalists to get their way!

Sincerely,

Blake Bogner,  
600 Redwood Dr.  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060



**Bob Balunda**  
<balunbb@saccounty.net>

03/03/2010 12:39 PM

Please respond to  
Bob Balunda  
<balunbb@saccounty.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Bob Balunda,  
1229 Eastern Ave  
Sacramento, CA 95864



bobby naps  
<bobby@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 09:36 PM

Please respond to  
bobby naps  
<bobby@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

bobby naps,  
800 comstock st.  
santa clara, CA 95054



**Brad Kerr**  
<rbad1962@yahoo.com>

03/05/2010 12:59 PM

Please respond to  
Brad Kerr  
<rbad1962@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Brad Kerr,  
15392 shast lane  
Huntington Beach, CA 92647



**Bradley Nevins**  
<bnevins@webmts.com>

03/04/2010 10:30 AM

Please respond to  
Bradley Nevins  
<bnevins@webmts.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.


The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

As a regular user of CCMA I am requesting that these issues be considered and a 90 day extension be granted. Please add my comments to the public record.

Sincerely,



**Bradley Nevins,  
3292 Formby Lane  
Fairfield, CA 94534**





Brain Svec  
<bsvec01@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 07:27 PM

Please respond to  
Brain Svec  
<bsvec01@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I am 25 years old and have been riding with my family since I was 4. Clear Creek OHV is by far the funnest place to ride and spend time with family and friends. A complete closure to OHV would be devastating to many families and would/did overcrowd the other nearby riding areas making them unsafe to ride on some weekends. I am not fighting for a year around open park rather just it to be open during the winter and spring months to regulate out the hot dusty months if asbestos is a concern. (However it is not a concern of mine). I love riding there and have many friends and family members who religiously use Clear Creek OHV Area with no harm done to them by the asbestos that is present.


I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

Thanks for your time!

Sincerely,

Brain Svec,  
Upstate Ryders MC



335 Monte Carlo Ave.  
Union City, CA 94587





Brenda Hoard  
<bhoard1@msn.com>

03/03/2010 01:42 PM

Please respond to  
Brenda Hoard  
<bhoard1@msn.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San

Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack

before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

Sincerely,

Brenda Hoard,  
Everything In Balance  
2737 Signal Creek Place  
Thornton, CO 80241-1345



**Brian Faris**  
<Rockcad@sbcglobal.net>

03/03/2010 10:05 PM

Please respond to  
Brian Faris  
<Rockcad@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

Sincerely,

Brian Faris,  
19093 santa maria ave  
Castro Valley , CA 94546



Brian Fraser  
<brian@bfraserlaw.com>  
03/03/2010 01:29 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear BLM:

I will keep this email brief because I assume that you don't want to read another email regarding Clear Creek. My sons and I have been riding our dirt bikes on BLM land for years now, including Clear Creek, Randsburg, Jawbone and Ocotillo Wells. I would ask you to extend whatever time is necessary to properly review the closure of Clear Creek. It has been one of the best riding areas in Northern California, and there can be no replacement for it's closure. Please keep in mind that there are a lot of recreational riders in California who depend on you to keep this land open to off road vehicles. You have done a fantastic job to date, and we certainly appreciate everything the BLM does to maintain this land. Based on what I have read regarding the closure of Clear Creek, there is really no tenable basis for it's closure. The health risks are imaginary and the assumptions don't reflect actual riding patterns of real riders. Please do the right thing.

Best regards.

Brian Fraser  
Attorney-at-law  
6114 LaSalle Ave., Suite 646  
Oakland, CA 94611  
phone 510 655 2122  
fax 510 655 5748



**Brian Wright**  
<tunaman916@hotmail.com>

03/05/2010 09:55 AM

Please respond to  
Brian Wright  
<tunaman916@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I am sending this letter to show my support for the off-roading community and my distaste for the overwhelming amount of 'proccesees' that are hurting the right for us law-abiding citizens to enjoy our public lands. This is outrageous to consider closing the Clear Creek area for something so trivial. Clear Creek has been an area open for a long time and asbestos exposure has got to be the most ridiculous argument for closing such an area. You folks at the BLM are joining the ranks of 'ambulance chaser' lawyers that sue for asbestos. I would ask this to be part of the official public record.

Sincerely, Brian Wright.

Sincerely,

Brian Wright,  
3971 Bankhead Rd  
Loomis, CA 95650



Brion McHale  
<bmchale@fmfracing.com>

03/03/2010 04:19 PM

Please respond to  
Brion McHale  
<bmchale@fmfracing.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Brion McHale,  
FMF RACING, INC.  
18933 Capense St.  
Fountain Valley, CA 92708



Bruce Boring  
<BBCcom@aol.com>

03/03/2010 01:06 PM

Please respond to  
Bruce Boring  
<BBCcom@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

Please do not close this area permanently!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce Boring,  
1814 Powell dr.  
Ventura, CA 93004



**Bruce Hendrickson**  
<bruceh@mail.com>  
Sent by: brucebh@gmail.com

03/03/2010 01:07 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

To whom it may concern,

I understand the OHMVR Commission recently voted to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. I urge you to consider their request.

My family and I have used the Clear Creek area for many years for recreation purposes. Please carefully consider all the impacts to California if it were to permanently lose this unique public resource. Please restore it as a valued public place where responsible recreation can take place.

Whatever perceived health risks which may be present can surely be easily managed with warning signs, education and seasonal closures.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. I would certainly wash off the mud/dust off my bikes before leaving the area.

Sincerely,

**Bruce Hendrickson**  
3059 Swallows Nest Dr.  
Sacramento, Ca 95833  
Ph. 916-568-0708



Bruce Leighton  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

03/04/2010 06:30 PM

Please respond to  
Bruce Leighton  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

Sincerely,

Bruce Leighton,  
PO Box 7174  
Spreckels, CA 93962



**Bryan Manternach**  
<smash@lycanthrope.net>

03/03/2010 01:55 PM

Please respond to  
Bryan Manternach  
<smash@lycanthrope.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San

Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing

this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Bryan Manternach,  
100 Fossil lane  
Boulder Creek, CA 95006



Bryan Parkin  
<motobryan@hotmail.com>

03/04/2010 03:02 PM

Please respond to  
Bryan Parkin  
<motobryan@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension. I was given, and have had, this great opportunity to enjoy this great passion of off road dirt biking for the last 30 of my 36 years of life as I will do so for the rest it!!! I will stand tall with my OHV BROTHERS to keep OUR LAND ALIVE-4-LIFE!!!!

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

Sincerely,

Bryan Parkin,  
2770 Concord Way  
san bruno, CA 94066



Carl Anderson  
<carl.e.anderson@comcast.net>  
t>

03/04/2010 09:59 AM

Please respond to  
Carl Anderson  
<carl.e.anderson@comcast.net>  
t>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Carl Anderson,  
6303 Lillian Way  
San Jose, CA 95120



Casey Crandall  
<twosmoker75@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 01:35 PM

Please respond to  
Casey Crandall  
<twosmoker75@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visit or use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

Sincerely,

Casey Crandall,  
790 Washington Ave  
Shasta Lake , CA 96019



Chad Delany  
<ccdellany@gmail.com>

03/03/2010 12:56 PM

Please respond to  
Chad Delany  
<ccdellany@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Chad Delany,  
2967 Layton Dr  
Davis, CA 95618



Charles Hirst  
<chirst5@gmail.com>

03/03/2010 08:19 PM

Please respond to  
Charles Hirst  
<chirst5@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Charles Hirst,  
California Enduro Riders Association  
4075 Windsor Point Place  
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762-3795



Charles Polan  
<gpolan@verizon.net>

03/05/2010 06:47 AM

Please respond to  
Charles Polan  
<gpolan@verizon.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Charles Polan,  
343 Whitetail Trail  
Sagle, ID 83860



charlie williams  
<trailridercharlie@yahoo.com>  
>

03/03/2010 04:59 PM

Please respond to  
charlie williams  
<trailridercharlie@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

Sincerely,

charlie williams,  
self  
920 e main  
brownburg, IN 46112



Chris burnett  
<burnett1214@GMail.com>

03/05/2010 11:28 AM

Please respond to  
Chris burnett  
<burnett1214@GMail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

Sincerely,

Chris burnett,  
po box 443  
salinas, CA 93902



chris cameron  
<retroman\_94551@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 12:45 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov

cc

bcc

Subject Re: "Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS"

To Whom This Concerns,

I am writing to you because I am very concerned over the use (or should I say non-use) of Clear Creek Management Area. I am an avid OHV enthusiast and have enjoyed (in the past) taking my family and friends to Clear Creek. Since it has been closed our OHV state parks have been greatly impacted. Since of its close proximity to many people, it has created a problem for OHV users to not have many alternatives that are close by to us (Greater Bay Area). I have ridden at Clear Creek for over 40 years and have enjoyed the beauty and peacefulness that this land was created for. My family has enjoyed many camping trips and also for hikes and OHV use. I hope you re-consider in keeping this park open for generations to come...

**Best regards,**

Chris Cameron

C & C SALES

(925) 373-9536



Chris Milligan  
<excitebike02@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 02:24 PM

Please respond to  
Chris Milligan  
<excitebike02@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San

Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing

this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via

a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Chris Milligan,  
4160 Elizabeth Ct.  
Cypress, CA 90630



Christina Wilson  
<ceeos@dslxtreme.com>

03/03/2010 12:41 PM

Please respond to  
Christina Wilson  
<ceeos@dslxtreme.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Christina Wilson,  
1 Main  
Tustin, CA 92705-9270



Chuck Worley  
<offroadmc@yahoo.com>

03/05/2010 08:15 AM

Please respond to  
Chuck Worley  
<offroadmc@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

Dear Planning Coordinator,

My family and I want to voice our support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area.

It is an incredible waste of our tax dollars for the BLM to suggest closure of a popular recreation area, particularly when the funding is primarily provided by the people using the area. Furthermore, since the release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, it seems particularly unfair for the BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject.

We are asking that the BLM extend their comment period and give the public and land use partners a reasonable amount of time to provide meaningful and important comment.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Chuck Worley,  
1815 Ravenwood  
Albany, OR 97321



Cindy Hursh  
<cjosunshine@yahoo.com>

03/05/2010 12:14 PM

Please respond to  
Cindy Hursh  
<cjosunshine@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Cindy Hursh,  
LLNL  
6020 Lindemann Rd  
Discovery Bay, CA 94505



Com Chewy  
<ComChewy@comcast.net>

03/04/2010 04:11 AM

Please respond to  
Com Chewy  
<ComChewy@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area.

The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, atv & motorcycle shops, Off Road Business retail OE & after-market, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Com Chewy,  
7224 West Colonial Dr  
Orlando, FL 32818



craig hodges  
<craigster\_ar@yahoo.com>  
03/03/2010 02:18 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft  
RMP/EIS"

I would like to add my comments on the proposed closing of this and any other BLM area . As a responsible users who respects your duty to care for Public lands I would hope those who use these lands should have a voice on how they are used, PLEASE KEEP THESE AREAS OPEN. Thanks,  
Craig Hodges



Craig Jelich  
<craigj4vwelding@aol.com>

03/04/2010 11:46 PM

Please respond to  
Craig Jelich  
<craigj4vwelding@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Craig Jelich,  
1537 Meadow Lane  
Mountain View, CA 94040



Craig Jelich  
<craigj4vwelding@aol.com>

03/04/2010 11:43 PM

Please respond to  
Craig Jelich  
<craigj4vwelding@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Craig Jelich,  
1537 Meadow Lane  
Mountain View, CA 94040



CTVA Action Committee  
<ctva\_action@q.com>

03/03/2010 06:01 PM

Please respond to  
CTVA Action Committee  
<ctva\_action@q.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San

Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing

this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

CTVA Action Committee,  
P.O. Box 5295  
Helena, MT 59604-5295



Dale Barkhoff  
<dbarkhoff@scbuildersinc.com>

03/04/2010 03:12 PM

Please respond to  
Dale Barkhoff  
<dbarkhoff@scbuildersinc.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Dale Barkhoff,

1066 Wekiva Ave  
Campbell, CA 95008



"Dan LaMere"  
<danl@snowiz.com>  
03/03/2010 01:11 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft  
RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator:

My family; of 5, enjoy many days and weeks each year of motorized use of BLM land and we want this type of use to continue to be made available to future generations. I enjoyed this with my parents growing up and have shared it with my 3 children and soon they will be sharing with their children but we need your help in order for the future generations to have these same opportunities.

Please consider the following:

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to

realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologist, Karl Ford, had concerns about the study being skewed. The EPA study should be redone by a credible scientific body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack

before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,  
Daniel LaMere  
8905 State Hwy 55  
Rockford, MN 55373



"Dan Thomson"  
<dan@fortfreemontmarine.com>  
>

03/03/2010 12:40 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

**3-3-10**

**RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS**

**Dear Planning Coordinator**

**I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension. Although I have not yet had the opportunity to enjoy this area, I certainly intend to and with the help of the AMA and yourself I hope I get the chance.**

**The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.**

**The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.**

**The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.**

**The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states:**

**"The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.**

**The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.**

**The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologist, Karl Ford, had concerns about the study being skewed. The EPA study should be redone by a credible scientific body.**

**The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.**

**The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.**

**The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as**

**dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.**

**In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.**

**I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.**

**If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.**

**Very truly yours,**

**Dan Thomson**

**8969 Co. Rd. II**

**Fremont, WI 54940**



Daniel Fukai  
<cuatthe8pex@hotmail.com>

03/03/2010 05:20 PM

Please respond to  
Daniel Fukai  
<cuatthe8pex@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

Please re- open CCMA.

I genuinely feel heartbroken that such a beautiful place has been taken away from us and I hope that what seems like a very emotional issue can be resolved with the re-opening of CCMA.

I truly hope that the recreational riding community and the BLM can come to a mutually satisfactory conclusion to this unfortunate situation. I also hope that in the future we can work together to maintain and preserve this beautiful riding area for continued use.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Daniel Fukai,  
967 tamarack ave  
San jose, CA 95128



"DANIEL JENNIFER WINES "  
<danjenn311@msn.com>  
03/03/2010 08:24 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I am taking some of my valuable off time to write to you expressing my concerns that the Clear Creek Management area comment period be extend 90 days. The request sent by at least 10 organizations and hundreds of individuals from all over the country should express to you the importance of this area and the issue.

If this issue is allowed to go forward without further public comment, I fear a long uphill battle between OHV groups, local citizens, local business, and the BLM. With the overwhelming number of federal planning process taking place concerning public land, I see a firefight between american citizens and the land management agencies. The BLM has been granted over 9,000,000.00 dollars from OHV trust funds over the last 30 years to manage the CCMA. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, which would seem to reflect that the BLM's finds the issues at the CCMA extremely complex. If you equate the 9,000,000.00 given to the BLM for the management of this area over the last 30 years, the OHV is paying \$100,000.00 day for the extension. I realize this is an extreme example, however I think the BLM has acted in an extreme manner to closing this area to the public completely.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study's design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The EPA study that was conducted concerning the CCMA should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body due to the fact that the majority of the sampling was conducted in dry periods when the area was closed to the general public. This was revealed from a personal communication from Daniel Stralka with the EPA.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. In my opinion, most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust from their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public

rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments,

Dan Wines  
P.O. Box 1039  
Middleton, Idaho 83644  
USA



Darryl Sheets  
<darrylsheets@bellsouth.net>  
03/03/2010 07:37 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject BLM land

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: cahormp@ca.blm.gov

DATE: 3 Mar 10

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

My family and I enjoy many activities on BLM land including hiking, camping, fishing and motorcycle riding. It has been a family event for my brothers since we were kids and we continue to ride both as brothers and in our immediate families. We are courteous and environmentally conscious always keeping to trails and packing out what we pack in plus what others leave behind. BLM land being opened to OHV is a critical part of our freedoms to recreate responsibly in our land.

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The

omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If

needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Darryl Sheets  
1931 Resort St  
Navarre, Fl



Dave Pickett  
<d36lao@volcan.net>

03/04/2010 01:00 PM

Please respond to  
Dave Pickett  
<d36lao@volcan.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

During the course of this process, including the many public meetings - the public has cried loud and clear that they need more time to digest the information put out when the DEIS was released.

In addition to letters from sitting US Congressional representatives, I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days.

Additionally, a recently held socio-economic forum was held, and that message of SEVERE financial impact to the business community in 2 counties IS being affected.

There is also the possibility of a huge financial loss in the form of "IN-LIEU" tax funding to San Benito County.

In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.< /p>

3 generations of my family has recreated with the CCMA. If you are seriously worried about being sued, that time has passed. From the emergency closure date during this process, regardless of the outcome, you have opened up the US Government to litigation and hundreds of thousands of users from all forms of recreation can file litigation against the BLM if they so desire.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

EXTEND THE COMMENT PERIOD.

KEEP CCMA OPEN. MY FAMILY SUPPORT THE NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE

Respectfully submitted,

David and Roberta Pickett  
as individuals  
28831 Sierra Court  
Pioneer, CA  
95666-9405

Sincerely,

Dave Pickett,  
28831 Sierra Court  
Pioneer, CA 95666-9405



Dave Seghi  
<dseghidc@sbcglobal.net>

03/03/2010 09:16 PM

Please respond to  
Dave Seghi  
<dseghidc@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I have been enjoying the CCMA since 1980. Like many individuals and families that I have come to know the CCMA offers a wide variety of enjoyable recreational activities, such as OHV use, camping, mountainbiking, rock hounding and hiking to name a few.

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect

analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Dave Seghi,  
3294 Royal Dr. #104  
Cameron Park, CA 95682



David Blau  
<dblau@comcast.net>

03/03/2010 02:09 PM

Please respond to  
David Blau  
<dblau@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

David Blau,  
377 yosemite dr  
livermore, CA 94551



David Cherniss  
<davecherniss@sbcglobal.net  
>

03/04/2010 07:13 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Sirs:

I learned to ride a dirt bike at Clear Creek in the sixties. I have very fond memories of riding there with my father. My boys, now in their early twenties, learned to ride there with me, a lifetime bonding experience.

I urge you to re-open Clear Creek to it's traditional uses. The OHV community in the bay area has very few quality riding areas to choose from, and it would be a shame to lose one permanently. I would like my boys to be able to take their children there in the future.

Sincerely, Dave Cherniss



David Cherniss  
<davecherniss@sbcglobal.net  
>

03/04/2010 07:00 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Sirs,



David Fowley  
<dkf13@earthlink.net>

03/04/2010 06:58 AM

Please respond to  
David Fowley  
<dkf13@earthlink.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

David Fowley,  
908 Amanda Drive  
Matthews, NC 28104-9394



David Grogan  
<dhgrog@hotmail.com>

03/04/2010 11:04 PM

Please respond to  
David Grogan  
<dhgrog@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I have family members and family friends that worked in the mine and in the production facility in King City and there have not been any health effects from the asbestos. There is no scientific evidence that the chrysotile mineral found in the CCMA is a hazard to human health.

Clear Creek is THE premier OHV riding area in the United States and the BLM should be proudly promoting the use of the area. Along with OHV use, the area is full of history and unique geology. It is a shame that a Governmental agency has made such a concerted effort to keep the public off public lands

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

David Grogan,  
515 Greenfield Ave  
Clovis, CA 93611



David Olaveson  
<davidpo7@ida.net>

03/04/2010 06:38 AM

Please respond to  
David Olaveson  
<davidpo7@ida.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

David Olaveson,  
Po Box 07  
Swan Valley, ID 83449



DAVID OLSON  
<OLSON16@FRONTIERNET.  
NET>

03/03/2010 05:54 PM

Please respond to  
DAVID OLSON  
<OLSON16@FRONTIERNET.  
NET>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

DAVID OLSON,  
20406 SKYLINE RD  
TUOLUMNE, CA 95379



David Trevisan  
<davidatrevisan@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 09:01 PM

Please respond to  
David Trevisan  
<davidatrevisan@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I have been riding in Hollister and Clear Creek since the mid-1970's. I have been a proud supporter of the California green sticker program and have felt that it should be applied to support off road motorcycling all over California. It is a shame to see trails and SVRA's being closed and no new lands opened. This is a travesty to all who have supported the program through vehicle licenses and taxes.

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seem to reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

David Trevisan,  
3477 Lynn Oaks Dr  
San Jose, CA 95117



David Vaassen  
<djghost@chorus.net>

03/03/2010 05:10 PM

Please respond to  
David Vaassen  
<djghost@chorus.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

Sincerely,

David Vaassen,  
1720 Deer Path Court  
Hazel Green, WI 53811



David Wasson  
<dwasson@wassons.net>

03/04/2010 05:05 PM

Please respond to  
David Wasson  
<dwasson@wassons.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stra lka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

Sincerely,

David Wasson,  
1098 Faulkner Street  
Salinas, CA 93906



David Wheeler  
<davew99@sbcglobal.net>

03/03/2010 06:24 PM

Please respond to  
David Wheeler  
<davew99@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

This is about recreation in an area that almost exclusively used by off road vehicles. Why is the BLM trying exclude that one user group from Clear Creek? Why are we being discriminated against?

Sincerely,

David Wheeler,

5635 Marlin Dr  
Discovery Bay, CA 94505



Dean Corbella  
<remodelservices@hotmail.com>  
m>

03/04/2010 06:14 AM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments Regarding Clear Creek Management Area Draft  
RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension. The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD. The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the

EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation. In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,  
Dean Corbella

Dean Corbella  
1521 Weston Rd.  
Scotts Valley CA  
95066

---

*The BlueRibbon Coalition is a national (non-profit) trail-saving group that represents over 600,000 recreationists nationwide The Combined Federal Campaign (CFC) season is beginning. Federal employees, please mark BlueRibbon Coalition and Check #11402 on your CFC pledge form to support our efforts to protect your access. Join us at 1-800-258-3742 <http://www.sharetrails.org>*

---

As a non-profit, grassroots organization funded primarily by membership dues and donation

**Dean Corbella**  
**Remodel Services**

**831 246 0555**

---

Hotmail: Powerful Free email with security by Microsoft. [Get it now.](#)



Dean Whiteside  
<dean\_whiteside@yahoo.com>  
>

03/04/2010 09:37 AM

Please respond to  
Dean Whiteside  
<dean\_whiteside@yahoo.com>  
>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

On behalf of myself and my family, we support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If a health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Dean Whiteside,  
137 Gerald Drive  
Danville, CA 94526



Denis Van Gundy  
<dvg84x@hotmail.com>

03/03/2010 03:58 PM

Please respond to  
Denis Van Gundy  
<dvg84x@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

Sincerely,

Denis Van Gundy,  
8075 Caceres Court  
Sparks, NV 89436-6248



Dennis Engelhardt  
<danden2@verizon.net>  
03/05/2010 07:38 AM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased

demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Dennis Engelhardt

PO Box 1133

Sagle, ID



Dennis Scroggins  
<scroggy12@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 06:30 AM

Please respond to  
Dennis Scroggins  
<scroggy12@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

As a user of this area for the past 30 years I have not heard of anyone having health problems

directly associated with having ridden or having worked in this area. Who are we trying to protect?

If we keep closing areas to recreate we will have a bigger risk of injury or death from overcrowding of what's left.

Sincerely,

Dennis Scroggins,  
744 Kimball.st  
Oakdale, CA 95361-2514



Derrick Morris  
<dm@sygcorp.com>

03/04/2010 06:45 AM

Please respond to  
Derrick Morris  
<dm@sygcorp.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Derrick Morris,  
9651 Martin Lane  
Salinas, CA 93907



Devin Carter  
<devin\_carter@verizon.net>

03/03/2010 08:31 PM

Please respond to  
Devin Carter  
<devin\_carter@verizon.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

Sincerely,

Devin Carter,  
134 Lupe Ave.  
Newbury Park, CA 91320



Dick Coppock  
<dcoppock@ncidata.com>

03/04/2010 10:38 AM

Please respond to  
Dick Coppock  
<dcoppock@ncidata.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body and based on science not emotion or opinion.

Sincerely,

Dick Coppock  
Governmental Affairs Chair.  
North Central Washington ATV Club  
171 Duck Lake Rd.  
Omak, WA. 98841

Sincerely,

Dick Coppock,  
171 Duck Lake Rd.  
Omak, WA 98841



Dodd Stange  
<dana-darla@msn.com>

03/04/2010 06:33 AM

Please respond to  
Dodd Stange  
<dana-darla@msn.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

Sincerely  
Dodd Stange  
140 Arlington Dr  
Petaluma ca, 94952

Sincerely,

Dodd Stange,  
140 Arlington DR  
Petaluma, CA 94952



Dodd Stange  
<Dodd.Stange@marin.edu>  
03/04/2010 06:26 AM

To "cahormp@ca.blm.gov" <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

3-4-2010

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation. The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally

eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Dodd Stange  
140 Petaluma Ca. 94952  
707-769-0501



Don Barich  
<d\_barich@sbcglobal.net>

03/03/2010 04:22 PM

Please respond to  
Don Barich  
<d\_barich@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Don Barich,  
1071 Wilmington Ave  
San Jose, CA 95129



Don Fukushima  
<don@fukushima.us>

03/04/2010 09:53 AM

Please respond to  
Don Fukushima  
<don@fukushima.us>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days.

I personally do not have the time to research all the details of governmental issues that impact OHV riding in the West. I have found BRC to represent many of the positions and values I hold toward the importance to maintain balanced and equitable use of public lands for recreation for all. My understanding is one of the main reasons for closing CCMA has to do with natural asbestos hazard yet it appears the science behind these findings is flawed and there are no measurable incidents of CCMA users have come down with cancer resulting from riding at CCMA. Until the facts can be reestablished I request more time and study be conducted before making any permanent decisions.

In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Don Fukushima,  
65 California Ave  
Orinda, CA 94563



Donald Gerber  
<earlkann@msn.com>

03/04/2010 10:39 PM

Please respond to  
Donald Gerber  
<earlkann@msn.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

Sincerely,

Donald Gerber,  
1821 Whispering Bells Rd.  
San Jacinto, CA 92582



Doug Conner  
<dualsprt@charter.net>

03/03/2010 05:10 PM

Please respond to  
Doug Conner  
<dualsprt@charter.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Doug Conner,  
3300 N Rd 96  
Pasco, WA 99301-1513



Doug Holcomb  
<gomodough@gmail.com>  
03/05/2010 07:40 PM

To cahornp@ca.blm.gov  
cc Doug Holcomb <gomodough@gmail.com>  
bcc  
Subject "Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft  
RMP/EIS"

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I and my family are avid outdoor recreationalists. We enjoy camping, hiking, mountain bike riding, horseback riding, and motorcycle riding, both on road and off highway riding. As is widely known, currently all forms of outdoor recreation participation is increasing. Particularly OHV use. Lands once available for responsible OHV use are being closed at an alarming rate. With user numbers increasing, that can only lead to over use. That is not responsible land management.

I am writing to ask that you extend the comment period so that all accurate information can be accumulated and all true scientific facts can be evaluated. The DEIS does not take local factors into consideration and contradicts some of BLM's own findings. Some of my concerns are listed below.

The DEIS does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The BLM admitted at the recent CA OHMVR Commission meeting in San Jose that to date they are aware of no cases of asbestos-related illnesses noted in the recreation community that has been using Clear Creek since the 1940s. Ignored is the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk.

The EPA Risk Assessment is flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

I understand that much time and effort has been spent on this project. I do appreciate that effort. This management plan will affect thousands of people with it's trickle down effect, well beyond the OHV users that will be denied a wonderful area to enjoy themselves. This document needs to be as accurate as possible using all the information available at this time. Please take more time to get it right.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Thank you,  
Doug Holcomb  
PO Box 13333  
South Lake Tahoe  
Ca. 96151  
530-577-4622  
[gomodough@gmail.com](mailto:gomodough@gmail.com)



Doug Machado  
<dmhmb@hotmail.com>

03/04/2010 09:09 AM

Please respond to  
Doug Machado  
<dmhmb@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Doug Machado,  
363 santiago ave  
el granada, CA 94018-2902



Earle Cummings  
<earlewc@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 07:25 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

I support the long overdue closure of Clear Creek Management Area to ORV use.

In the late 1970's, I worked as a Wildlife Biologist for California Department of Fish and Game, in their Environmental Services function, reviewing environmental documents. I was amazed and distressed as I learned that BLM and the California Department of Parks and Recreation acquired and/or developed riding venues on a number of rare plant habitats that grew on serpentine soils.

In the 1960's, I had worked for a couple of summers as a Student Technician for Union Carbide. In 1963, I worked in one of their pilot plants on asbestos ore processing, and the ore was delivered to Tuxedo, New York, by railroad gondola from Coalinga, California. I was carefully trained to avoid drying of the ore, or rendering it airborne, because airborne fibers had been found to be associated with mesothelioma among World War II shipyard workers and steamfitters using asbestos pipe insulation. I was well aware, by the 1970's, that serpentine soils and the asbestos ore I worked with in the 1960s were one and the same. I couldn't believe that responsible public agencies would put recreational riders in harm's way. Thank you for reconsidering what I believe was a grave mistake. Please don't make it a second time.

While you are at it, there is a serpentine area near Knoxville, not far from Clear Lake, that deserves to be closed as well. Restoring the abused soils of an ORV play area is difficult on normal soils, but considerably more challenging on soils derived from serpentine. The long process needs to begin as soon as possible.

Thank you for considering informed public opinion on this issue.

Earle W. Cummings, Certified Wildlife Biologist  
PO Box 1090  
Geyserville, CA 95441  
707-433-0394



Ellen Amador  
<damador@quietwarriorracing.com>

03/03/2010 12:21 PM

Please respond to  
Ellen Amador  
<damador@quietwarriorracing.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Ellen Amador,  
Quiet Warrior Racing  
555 Honey Lane  
Oakley, CA 94561-2736



Emile Estassi  
<bare1foot@aol.com>

03/03/2010 09:19 PM

Please respond to  
Emile Estassi  
<bare1foot@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative. Where does the run off of this wash rack run to?

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national cali ber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

Think about generations to come. What do you expect our youth to do. Clear creek was a great family place, allowing families to spend much needed time together.

Sincerely,

Emile Estassi,  
Boyd's Asphalt Services  
106 San Benancio rd  
salinas, CA 93908



Eric Benson  
<elbenson1@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 02:32 PM

Please respond to  
Eric Benson  
<elbenson1@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Eric Benson,  
3725 Ortega St.  
San Francisco, CA 94122



Eric Buchner  
<greytoe@earthlink.net>

03/04/2010 02:21 PM

Please respond to  
Eric Buchner  
<greytoe@earthlink.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I'd like to add my comment to the CCMA deis. As a long time outdoor & OHV recreationist, the closure of CCMA breaks my heart, and at the same time makes my angry. The reasons for closure are flawed.

Some points -

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM

should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Eric Buchner,  
375 N 10 st  
San Jose, CA 95112



Eric Komrosky  
<blm@mytirade.com>

03/03/2010 09:20 PM

Please respond to  
Eric Komrosky  
<blm@mytirade.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I know there is something wrong when the San Benito County Supervisors have been granted an extension to the commenting deadline and the general public has not.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

Sincerely,

Eric Komrosky,  
1472 Red Tail Place  
Morgan Hill, CA 95037



Eric Orrill <eorrill@cox.net>

03/05/2010 02:22 PM

Please respond to  
Eric Orrill <eorrill@cox.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Eric Orrill,  
4555 E McNeil St  
Phoenix, AZ 85044



Eric Wilson  
<fongerler@dslextreme.com>

03/03/2010 12:42 PM

Please respond to  
Eric Wilson  
<fongerler@dslextreme.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Eric Wilson,  
1 Main  
Tustin, CA 92705-9270



Erik Schaffer  
<eriktheexpert@att.net>

03/03/2010 02:19 PM

Please respond to  
Erik Schaffer  
<eriktheexpert@att.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Erik Schaffer,  
565 Minerva St  
Hayward, CA 94544



frank nye  
<enginesonly@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 09:05 AM

Please respond to  
frank nye  
<enginesonly@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

frank nye,  
engines only  
1870 stone ave  
san jose, CA 95125



Frank Nye  
<enginesonly@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 08:42 AM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov

cc

bcc

Subject re open clear creek!

3-4-10

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV

areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologist, Karl Ford, had concerns about the study being skewed. The EPA study should be redone by a credible scientific body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Frank E. nye @ Engines Only 1870 stone Ave San Jose ca 95125





Frank Vest  
<fkvest@gmail.com>

03/03/2010 01:46 PM

Please respond to  
Frank Vest  
<fkvest@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

My father served in the Navy in world war II, I served in the Navy in the Viet Nam war on the USS Wedderburn. My Daughter served in the Army and went to Desert Storm. My Daughter was in Saudi Arabia when they were attacked by SCUD missiles from Iraq, she was lucky to be alive. My daughter called me and said that the first thing after she gets back home is to spend some time riding at Clear Creek.

We went for that ride and It really made all everything seem ok. She was finally back in the USA and free to enjoy all the things this great country has.

My family has been riding at Clear Creek for over 40 years. Both my daughters learned to ride there. I don't know of any health problems from riding at Clear Creek.

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Frank Vest,  
392 Burnett Avenue  
Santa Clara, CA 95051



Garrett Lewis  
<Glewis4x4@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 09:39 PM

Please respond to  
Garrett Lewis  
<Glewis4x4@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Garrett Lewis,  
1820 monterey pine ave  
Ceres, CA 95307



Gary Hendricks  
<gary.hendricks@comcast.net  
>

03/04/2010 09:09 PM

Please respond to  
Gary Hendricks  
<gary.hendricks@comcast.net  
>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Gary Hendricks,  
YN EVENTS AND ADVENTURES  
1962 Brater Ct.  
San Jose, CA 95131



Gary Otremba  
<gomaiznblu@aol.com>

03/03/2010 02:15 PM

Please respond to  
Gary Otremba  
<gomaiznblu@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

Sincerely,

Gary Otremba,  
2748 Madison River Drive  
Redding, CA 96002



Geoffrey Tobin  
<gotobin@mac.com>  
03/05/2010 04:42 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

I am a resident of the State of California with an interest in seeing the Clear Creek Management area remain open to its former status prior to its closing in May of 2008. I have had the opportunity to visit Clear Creek with The San Luis Gem and Mineral Club many years ago. I found the area to be interesting both geologically and visually. I have read of a statement by your representatives at the San Jose meeting that your agency is aware of no incidences of any asbestos related health problems from activity in the Clear Creek Management Area. This I believe would indicate that closure is an over reaction.

In the early 1990's I was talking with one of my friends who had just started with the State of California Department of Toxic Substance Control. At that time Glen mentioned that cases of persons coming down with asbestos related illnesses was concentrated in two groups. The first being children under age five whose parent came home with asbestos dust on their clothing. The Second group being persons that worked with asbestos in environments with prolonged exposure and were smokers. In the sections of the CCMA\_Draft\_RMP-EIS that I have had the opportunity to read no mention is made of the combined effect of smoking and exposure to asbestos fibers.

One of my main concerns is the loss of OHV area. I have enjoyed this activity for many years., and know many people that do also. I usually drive an old Land-Rover and find exploring historic site to be of more interest that repeatedly going over the same patch of ground, but the loss of access to these areas I see as a problem. The closures concentrate users into smaller and smaller areas thus exacerbating erosion conditions. With the increase in the number of people owning and driving vehicles capable of visiting the public lands without the need for paved roadways we could use more miles of trails to explore. Clear Creek is an area with many interested parties, OHV, Hunters, Campers, and Rock Hounds.

Without clear evidence that the environment at Clear Creek poses a direct health threat, not an implied threat based on general exposure to asbestos in its many forms. I object to its closure and limiting access.

Geoffrey Tobin  
San Luis Obispo, CA



Gerard Shuba  
<Hards6@sbcglobal.net>

03/04/2010 07:11 AM

Please respond to  
Gerard Shuba  
<Hards6@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Gerard Shuba,  
Custom Metal Mfg. Co.  
616 Waltermire St.  
Belmont, CA 94002



Gina Johnson  
<ginat\_johnson@sbcglobal.net  
>

03/05/2010 07:40 AM

Please respond to  
Gina Johnson  
<ginat\_johnson@sbcglobal.net  
>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Gina Johnson,  
27 Bay Laurel Ct.  
Scotts Valley, CA 95066



Gino Fortunato  
<yospike0@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 09:42 AM

Please respond to  
Gino Fortunato  
<yospike0@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Gino Fortunato,  
233 26th Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94121



Glen Anderson  
<glenanderson@mail.com>

03/03/2010 01:25 PM

Please respond to  
Glen Anderson  
<glenanderson@mail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Glen Anderson,  
2460 East Medicine lake Blvd  
Plymouth, MN 55441-5544



Glen Coddington  
<glenracing@mybluelight.com  
>

03/05/2010 10:18 PM

Please respond to  
Glen Coddington  
<glenracing@mybluelight.com  
>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension. Glen Coddington Oakland Ca

Sincerely,

Glen Coddington,  
8064 Winthroe st  
Oakland, CA 94605



"Greg Matthews "  
<greg.matthews@sbcglobal.net>

03/05/2010 03:46 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I have ridden dirt bikes since I met my husband in 1975. I have ridden with family and friends in the Clear Creek Recreation Area area many times. I have a tremendous number of positive memories of spending time there with my family. It is a great recreational resource that should not be closed to the public.

I agree with all of the points made by the Blue Ribbon Coalition and other commenting organization requesting an extension to the public comment period of 90 days. Please extend the public comment period on the RMP/EIS for 90 days, as the OHMVR Commission also recently voted to petition the BLM. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in

the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders

would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Greg Matthews  
PO Box 905  
3095 Braden Road  
Camino, CA 95709



"Greg Matthews"  
<greg.matthews@sbcglobal.net>

03/05/2010 03:43 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc "Greg Matthews" <greg.matthews@sbcglobal.net>

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I am a long-time OHV enthusiast that has ridden with family, friends and organized events in the Clear Creek area since 1973. I have a tremendous number of positive memories of spending time there with my family. It is a great recreational resource that should not be closed to the public.

Please extend the public comment period on the RMP/EIS for 90 days, as the OHMVR Commission also recently voted to petition the BLM. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode

in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Greg Matthews  
PO Box 905  
3095 Braden Road  
Camino, CA 95709



Greg McNeil  
<captaincrunch69@msn.com>

03/03/2010 02:28 PM

Please respond to  
Greg McNeil  
<captaincrunch69@msn.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Greg McNeil,  
6423 s williams st  
Centennial, CO 80121



Greg Taylor  
<jgregtaylor@charter.net>

03/03/2010 07:28 PM

Please respond to  
Greg Taylor  
<jgregtaylor@charter.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

In closing I would like to add that there is not one documented case of mesothelioma from any of the mine workers working in the mines that operated in Clear Creek, or from the thousands of OHV enthusiasts that have recreated in CCMA. I have friends that are 70+ years young that have OHV'd in the area for 50 years. This is extreme and no exaggeration. They are still alive and well. Point made.

I want Clear Creek reopened so I can enjoy the area once again with my family in our RV. My 5 year old would like to visit the place we call "The Creek"

Sincerely,

Greg Taylor,

916 Vista Cerro Drive  
Paso Robles, CA 93446



Harvey Bye  
<a5bye5@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 04:33 PM

Please respond to  
Harvey Bye  
<a5bye5@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahomp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Harvey Bye,  
307 n. blossom  
waterford, CA 95386



Heidi Murphy  
<stripedpandora@hotmail.com>

03/04/2010 12:26 PM

Please respond to  
Heidi Murphy  
<stripedpandora@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Heidi Murphy,  
6501 NE 16th Ave  
Vancouver, WA 98665



"hoppe120@juno.com"  
<hoppe120@juno.com>  
03/03/2010 05:36 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft  
RMP/EIS"

I've been a user of the Clear Creek (Molina) 4 wheel drive trails since the mid 70's and have had zero health problems as a result of these activities. It is my understanding that the type of asbestos occurring in that area is not a health hazard. It's time we quit relying on flawed science provided by groups with an anti access agenda.

Off road access areas in this state are shrinking while the demand is growing. Lets return this area to the public.

Richard Hoppe  
Goleta, Ca.



Howard Jones  
<hojo13@sbcglobal.net>  
03/03/2010 03:26 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc  
Subject RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft  
RMP/EIS

March 3, 2010

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023

Dear Planning Coordinator

I am 56 years old and live in Redding, CA. I have four children. Although they are all grown and off on their own, I must share some personal information with you. When the kids were young I taught each one of them to ride motorcycles. Some years ago there were many more opportunities to find areas to ride. I started riding when I was eight and wanted to share my passion for the sport with my kids. We would travel to riding areas and experience the trails together. Though those experiences, all four of my kids learned at very young ages how to ride, respect and maintain machines. None of them had problems with traffic tickets or accidents when they were old enough to be licensed to drive. They had learned about making good decisions on picking a line of travel and had experienced the results of making bad decisions. "They had some crashes along the way, thank goodness none were serious." I am lucky, for all of them have jobs and are good citizens. We were responsible with our approach to riding and caring for our riding areas. Please consider that most of the riders I know have had the same approach to our sport.

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can

properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologist, Karl Ford, had concerns about the study being skewed. The EPA study should be redone by a credible scientific body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA.

However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Howard Jones  
3345 Bridger Dr.  
Redding, CA 96002  
530-722-1062



info@topoftheworldresort .com  
03/04/2010 08:07 AM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension. The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD. The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent

body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation. In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Bart Milam  
2823 Hwy 212  
Cody WY 82414  
307.587.5368  
info@topoftheworldresort.com



Jack Davies  
<jackcheeses@comcast.net>

03/03/2010 08:40 PM

Please respond to  
Jack Davies  
<jackcheeses@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Jack Davies,  
1140 W.McKinley ave.  
Sunnyvale, CA 94086-7014



Jack Traver  
<jack\_traver@att.net>

03/03/2010 07:50 PM

Please respond to  
Jack Traver  
<jack\_traver@att.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Jack Traver,  
1133 Hampton Rd  
Sacramento, CA 95864



Jacqueline Machado  
<jconnell7@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 12:10 PM

Please respond to  
Jacqueline Machado  
<jconnell7@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Machado,  
PO Box 2902  
El Granada, CA 94018



Jacquelyn Iddings  
<jackie@windridertherapies.com>

03/03/2010 02:51 PM

Please respond to  
Jacquelyn Iddings  
<jackie@windridertherapies.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some

small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Jacquelyn Iddings,  
4945 Farousse Way  
Paso Robles, CA 93446



Jacquelyne Theisen  
<bebehumr@gmail.com>

03/03/2010 02:11 PM

Please respond to  
Jacquelyne Theisen  
<bebehumr@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

Allowing the members of the OHV community the opportunity to correct these travesties being done to the American People, is to obtain and allow an accurate and transparent public process. I'm sorry to say that in my personal experience, it has proven to be too high a bar for both the BLM and the EPA. The public, in this case, the OHV public, demands satisfaction.

You are mandated to use Best Available Science, and yet time and time again - you use the most inferior science available. What is transparent to those of us who actually take the time to read your fake and manipulated studies is that you have no true concern for public safety, no true concern for the public you protect, and only an agenda, fed to you by the anti-recreationist, anti-OHV public.

Shame on you - once again.

Sincerely,

Jacquelyne Theisen,  
Friends of the Rubicon  
2755 Slade Ridge  
Auburn, CA 95603



"Jake Beckett"  
<jake@peachycanyon.com>  
03/03/2010 02:17 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc <jc@s2mservices.com>  
bcc

Subject Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Hello,

My name is Jake Beckett and I am writing this email in regards to Clear Creek Management Area. Back in 2000, I finished college in the Ca valley and moved back home to Paso Robles to get into the wine industry.

Shortly after moving back home I got into riding off-road motorcycles. Clear Creek was my first experience in true single track, off-road riding and one I will never forget.

It was one of the most fun days I had had outside in years. It reinvigorated me, and since then Off-road motorcycle riding has been a big part of my life. That BLM land became a place to escape from the rat-race, relax, have some good clean fun, and then go back to work ready to take on the world.

Fast forward 10yrs, I own a Winery, and I am married with children, ( 2 sons ) and those boys love to do what dad does. Ride Dirbikes....but I can't take them to Clear Creek, I would really like the opportunity to

Experience the magic of riding at Clear Creek with them.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,  
Jake Beckett

**Jake Beckett- VP Sales**  
**Peachy Canyon Winery**

**2025 Nacimiento Lake Drive,**  
**Paso Robles, CA. 93446**  
**www.peachycanyon.com**  
**cell 805-431-0309**  
**fax 805-237-2248**



James jackson  
<tislaw@comcast.net>

03/03/2010 03:20 PM

Please respond to  
James jackson  
<tislaw@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

The fact is that not one single OHV user of Clear Creek has become ill in over 70 years of usage. The EPA study is flawed and Clear Creek should be reopened.

Sincerely,

James jackson,  
2432 pincrest drive  
santa rosa, CA 95403



James Miller  
<jim.miller@plantronics.com>

03/04/2010 02:38 PM

Please respond to  
James Miller  
<jim.miller@plantronics.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

James Miller,  
5019 D Wilder Drive  
Soquel, CA 95073



JAMIE DATAN  
<TWRACER3Z@aol.com>

03/05/2010 05:13 PM

Please respond to  
JAMIE DATAN  
<TWRACER3Z@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders

would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

Sincerely,

JAMIE DATAN,  
17030 NINA COURT  
SALINAS, CA 93908



Jamison Burchfield  
<jgrizzly660@gmail.com>

03/04/2010 05:09 PM

Please respond to  
Jamison Burchfield  
<jgrizzly660@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Jamison Burchfield,  
45780 BYRON RD  
HUDSONVILLE, MI 49426



Jamison Donato  
<jamisondonato@gmail.com>  
03/03/2010 01:01 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: [cahormp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:cahormp@ca.blm.gov)

March 10th, 2010

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and

regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is

as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Jamison Donato  
2331 Venn Ave  
San Jose, CA 95124  
[jamisondonato@gmail.com](mailto:jamisondonato@gmail.com)  
408-761-7544



Janette Damaso  
<DEADENDD@juno.com>

03/05/2010 10:51 AM

Please respond to  
Janette Damaso  
<DEADENDD@juno.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

Dear: Rick Cooper

I am requesting that you extend the comment period for the Draft Resource Management Plan/Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) process for the Clear Creek Management Area (CCMA) Hollister Field.

The public comment process is being severely restricted and compromised by only allowing 90 days for the public to develop substantive comments. 90 days to obtain documents, [with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)] and analyze them for both the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) DEIS and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) report, which prompted the CCMA DEIS, that took several years for both agencies to develop. 90 days is clearly not enough time for substantive comments under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

The CCMA encompass 90,000 acres of our public lands that will have tax payers access severely restricted without good substantive public comments because of the severe lack of enough time to research, analyze and develop substantive comments that would impact the final out come.

Please extend the comment period by 120 days to allow us sufficient time to do the required research to provide excellent substantive comments.

I also want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Thank you for this opportunity to participate in the public review of the Bureau of Land Management release of the, Clear Creek Management Area Draft Resource Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DRMP/DEIS).

I can not support any of the alternatives as proposed in the DRMP/DEIS. None of them provide the best out come for OHV use or the public as a whole.

A combination of parts of several alternatives would better serve the public. Alternative A would provide the base that modifications could apply to.

Modifications to apply to Alt. A would be:

1. Designate the entire 75,000 acres CCMA as a "Limited" vehicle use area.
2. Establish new campground, staging area, and OHV recreation facilities in the Cantua Zone.
3. Establish staging area and campground for motorized access (OHV) to Condon Peak from Coalinga-Los Gatos Road.
4. Vehicle use in the CCMA management zones would be limited to already designated routes in "Alt. A" and the addition of proposed trail routes already identified and NEW planned trail routes for OHV (4X4, ATV & Single Track Motorcycle trails), outside of the ACEC that would provide trail recreation with less exposure to Chrysotile form of asbestos found mostly in the ACEC.
5. These measures would allow the public access away from the ACEC without closing the ACEC.
6. 80% of the past visitors at Clear Creek Management Area were motorcyclists. The preferred alternative has no provisions for off-road motorcycle recreation. This is a total reversal of the current and historical use at CCMA. The preferred alternative should include all types of OHV use.

There appear to be no documented cases of BLM employees diagnosed with cancer due to exposure at CCMA to the Chrysotile form of asbestos. These employees spend far more time in the area than recreational visitors, so if the employees are not experiencing related asbestos health problems then there must not be any real health risk.

The BLM used an EPA report based mostly on theoretical analysis of potential asbestos exposure hazards. There have been no documented cases of lung cancer or any other asbestos related health problems related to recreational exposure at Clear Creek. The BLM should not cite reports, which are not supported by actual health cases at Clear Creek.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

Sincerely,

Janette Damaso,  
4X4 In Motion, MDR, CA4WDC, CORVA,  
1119 East Linwood Ave.  
TURLOCK, CA 95380



Jason Eickhoff  
<jresacct@gmail.com>

03/03/2010 02:56 PM

Please respond to  
Jason Eickhoff  
<jresacct@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Jason Eickhoff,  
27485 Newporter Way  
Laguna Niguel, CA 92677



jason herbig  
<herbig123@hotmail.com>

03/03/2010 01:32 PM

Please respond to  
jason herbig  
<herbig123@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

jason herbig,  
5301 hood mesa trl.  
farmington, NM 87401



Jason Wise  
<wise397@yahoo.com>  
03/12/2010 10:47 AM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

March 12, 2010

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I am writing to let you know that I grew up in San Benito Co and I have enjoyed riding my dirt bike at Clear Creek since I was a boy. I have fortunately had the opportunity to ride at Clear Creek with my oldest child prior to the recent closure but my youngest child just started riding last summer so she has not had the opportunity to ride at clear creek. Clear Creek is a place that has always been dear to me and I love to ride the large expanses of land that this location has to offer. I do believe that this public land should be available to everyone and with very limited OHV access in our wonderful state of Ca, we can not afford to loose more OHV areas. Please keep Clear Creek open!

I also want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Jason Wise

2127 Sugartree Dr

Pittsburg, Ca 94565



Jay Peterson  
<peterson56206@verizon.net>  
>

03/03/2010 04:42 PM

Please respond to  
Jay Peterson  
<peterson56206@verizon.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this

important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Jay Peterson,  
36313 Cherrywood Dr.  
Yucaipa, CA 92399



Jeff Davies  
<jdaviespe@jkdservices.com>

03/04/2010 09:16 AM

Please respond to  
Jeff Davies  
<jdaviespe@jkdservices.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Jeff Davies,  
126 Summer Wheat Dr  
Windsor, CA 95492



Jeff Hayes  
<jhayes@huckleb.com>

03/03/2010 12:36 PM

Please respond to  
Jeff Hayes  
<jhayes@huckleb.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Jeff Hayes,  
23A La Cueva Creek Road  
Glorieta, NM 87535



jeff johnson  
<mxjohnsons@clear.net>

03/05/2010 01:40 PM

Please respond to  
jeff johnson  
<mxjohnsons@clear.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San

Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing

this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

jeff johnson,  
2004 SW 6TH ST  
BATTLE GROUND, WA 98604-3016



Jeff Kasper  
<Jeff.Kasper@adapteq.com>  
03/03/2010 07:25 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Jeff Kasper(Contractor)  
AdapTech Corp  
email: Jeff.Kasper@adapteq.com  
Office ph. 562)799-1947  
Office FAX 562)799-1137  
Cell ph. 714)469-1482



Jeff Ossman  
<ossmanhay741\_2@hotmail.com>

03/03/2010 08:16 PM

Please respond to  
Jeff Ossman  
<ossmanhay741\_2@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.


The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.


Sincerely,

Jeff Ossman,




Ossman Hay  
5825 Alder Rd.  
Pasco, WA 99301

---



---





Jeff Radtke  
<jeff\_radtke@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 12:11 PM

Please respond to  
Jeff Radtke  
<jeff\_radtke@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

Hello:

Please include my comments in the public record.

I want to ask that you consider reopening CCMA for motorcycle use. Me and my family have used this area for over 10 years. I am a former president of a family oriented motorcycle club with several three-generation family members who rely on this area each winter. Most other riding areas in Northern California are often closed due to poor conditions/snow.

Recreation for us as a result has dwindled in the past two years. We've personally witnessed an alarming dramatic overuse of other open riding areas. This causes even further deteriorating conditions where several trails will be forced to close as a result of the inability to maintain damaged trails.

I urge you to consider weighing the risks of riding in the winter at CCMA with the loss of recreation opportunities within a two hour drive from one of the highest populated areas in the country.

Many concerns are raised over the poor science used and recommendations of the 2008 EPA Asbestos Risk Assessment.

I voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Please help give families a recreational outlet and location near the Bay Area.

Sincerely,

Jeff Radtke,  
21001 S.R. Vly.Blvd A4-199  
San Ramon, CA 94583



Jeff White  
<jeffwhite9@aol.com>

03/07/2010 05:18 PM

Please respond to  
Jeff White  
<jeffwhite9@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

Careful consideration needs to be used in closing Clear Creek as many used to use it. I, and many others, now travel over 2 times as far in vehicles to get to other areas that are similar to Clear Creek. Please make sure that the closure of this area is extremely necessary before doing so.

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match

the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risks are identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Jeff White,  
3980 timberline dr  
san jose, CA 95121



Jeffrey Lewis  
<Lewwdog@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 09:20 PM

Please respond to  
Jeffrey Lewis  
<Lewwdog@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

My father took me to Clear Creek to ride in an open and diverse terrain area. I now have three kids and soon will be planning on taking them. The memories of camping for a weekend and motorcycling with my family, hugely outways any weekend on some baseball diamond or soccer field.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Lewis,  
J Lewis Electric  
618 S Fremont St  
San Mateo, CA 94402



**Jeffrey Sebern**  
<jeffs176@hotmail.com>

03/03/2010 08:35 PM

Please respond to  
Jeffrey Sebern  
<jeffs176@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Sebern,  
1963 Devaul Ranch Dr  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405



jeffrey strutz  
<blacks@pirate4x4.com>

03/05/2010 01:47 PM

Please respond to  
jeffrey strutz  
<blacks@pirate4x4.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

jeffrey strutz,  
131 sunset  
yreka, CA 96097



JENNIFER CASO  
<quadgirl3z@aol.com>

03/05/2010 05:24 PM

Please respond to  
JENNIFER CASO  
<quadgirl3z@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process

must address this issue.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

Sincerely,

JENNIFER CASO,  
17030 NINA CT.  
SALINAS, CA 93908



Jerry Marsh  
<jaminjer2@verizon.net>

03/03/2010 03:08 PM

Please respond to  
Jerry Marsh  
<jaminjer2@verizon.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Jerry Marsh,  
31122 eagle creek st  
menifee, CA 92584



Jerry Sparkman  
<rockwrangler@msn.com>

03/05/2010 08:19 AM

Please respond to  
Jerry Sparkman  
<rockwrangler@msn.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

Sincerely,

Jerry Sparkman,  
1154 Vakbusa drive  
Gilroy, CA 95020



jesse ziegler  
<zigmx614@hotmail.com>

03/03/2010 07:37 PM

Please respond to  
jesse ziegler  
<zigmx614@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

jesse ziegler,  
8535 East Baker Hill road  
Orange, MT 92869



**Jim Bowsher**  
<jimbr@sccsonline.com>

03/03/2010 01:37 PM

Please respond to  
Jim Bowsher  
<jimbr@sccsonline.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Jim Bowsher,  
157 Adams St.  
Monte Vista, CO 81144



Joe Gomes  
<fullthrottle@netpipe.com>

03/05/2010 09:22 AM

Please respond to  
Joe Gomes  
<fullthrottle@netpipe.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Joe Gomes,  
100 Chaparral St  
Salinas , CA 93906



Joe PISSED OFF DiSalvo  
<jtdisalvo@hotmail.com>

03/03/2010 09:59 PM

Please respond to  
Joe PISSED OFF DiSalvo  
<jtdisalvo@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Joe PISSED OFF DiSalvo,  
3 Lagunita RD.  
Salinas, CA 93906



joe reisinger  
<jreising@charter.net>

03/03/2010 02:55 PM

Please respond to  
joe reisinger  
<jreising@charter.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

Sincerely,

joe reisinger,  
4392 yellowstone dr  
redding, CA 96002



Joe Workman  
<jworkk@aol.com>

03/04/2010 08:49 AM

Please respond to  
Joe Workman  
<jworkk@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

Sincerely,

Joe Workman,

2595 Warwick Ln  
Santa Cruz, CA 95065



Joel Becher  
<becher.joel@gmail.com>

03/03/2010 08:18 PM

Please respond to  
Joel Becher  
<becher.joel@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Joel Becher,  
3540 Stony Point Rd.  
Grand Island, NY 14072-1407



John Aiton  
<jaiton@heritagewifi.com>

03/03/2010 07:56 PM

Please respond to  
John Aiton  
<jaiton@heritagewifi.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

John Aiton,  
6855 HOLLILYNN  
Boise, ID 83709-7269



John Alexander  
<flyinga250@yahoo.com>  
03/05/2010 09:07 AM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

## RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I have written letters describing how the Clear Creek closure has affected my friends and family and the off road community, been to public meetings etc. etc. but I feel this whole thing was a plan put in place to get rid of off road motorcyclists from the CCMA and Rick, et al are not interested in what any of us thinks or feels. I came to this conclusion at the last meeting I attended in Santa Clara, and from reading the draft RMP/EIS. Gee, the BLM recommends that only motor touring be allowed, effectively shutting us, and only us, out of Clear Creek! no big surprise there. By now everyone involved knows how we feel so its redundant to say anything else about the effects its having on us. Heres what I think is going on:

Motorized recreation in the CCMA is a headache to the BLM because now you actually have to manage it, you are cowed by well funded enviro groups constantly threatening to sue, you are afraid of lawsuits from citizens and you are trying to railroad this whole thing through the legal system as fast as legally possible, get rid of us, and retreat back to your office desks knowing you won't have to deal with us any more. Then you can go home and have a glass of "vino" ..cheers!

So here is what I request:

1. The no action alternative, the trail cutting and route designation was working, I'm all for responsible use of the land and had no problem with paying fees to maintain it.
2. Extend the comment period and open CCMA to the public immediately, as it was an illegal closure in the first place.
3. Toss that biased, contrived EPA report out the window, everyone knows its bull dung.
4. Give us OUR land back!

I just paid my 2009 taxes, part of which goes to your pay checks, please remember that! Also, this movement is growing daily, more people are getting involved, even non off-roaders, like my 80 year old parents, who see it as a further erosion of our freedoms and have offered their support, the news media, congress, business's. We aren't going away, I will fight with all I have to stop this so lets all work together, give us a reason to have some positive attitudes for our government again, believe me, you guys sorely need it, ask anyone on the street and 9 out of 10 will say you are failing the American people.

Regards,

**John Alexander**

**51 years old**

**Husband**

**Father**

**Mechanical engineer**

**Tax payer**

**Avid off-road enthusiast**



John Brown  
<toponut@gmail.com>  
03/04/2010 01:11 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

My wife and I and many of our friends have enjoyed 4x4 activities and camping in the CCMA area for many years. We were devastated to arrive at CCMA for a long week of fun the very morning it was closed by the BLM. We live in San Jose, California and Clear Creek is the closest area where we can get away in our Jeep and explore miles and miles of trails available. With the closure of Clear Creek, we now face most of a day's travel just to find a wilderness area where we can enjoy off-road recreation. The only option close to us is Hollister Hills SVRA, which is fine for a few hours of fun but is often crowded and very limited in the amount of trails for 4x4s.

I grew up in Hollister, and the Clear Creek / San Benito Mountain / New Idria areas have always been a favorite destination to "get away from it all". The history of the area is unique and even our state gemstone is only found here. I think it is shameful for our government, who should be holding our public lands in trust for us, is instead barring the public from more and more areas such as the CCMA. I understand the concerns about the naturally occurring asbestos in the area, but my understanding is that there has never been a reported case of illness from recreation in the area, even among people who have been going there on a regular basis for decades. Even if there is a potential risk, it is not the responsibility of the BLM to "protect" citizens who choose to enjoy the area. A few well-placed warning signs should be sufficient.

The Clear Creek area is very dear to my heart. I implore you and the BLM to reopen Clear Creek as soon as possible so that this place of rugged beauty can once again be enjoyed and appreciated by those of us who know and love it, as well as future generations of outdoor enthusiasts.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

John Brown  
1241 Curtner Ave  
San Jose, CA 95125



John Davis  
<jcdrider@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 04:21 PM

Please respond to  
John Davis  
<jcdrider@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

John Davis,  
TMC AMA D36

6828 Lenwood Way  
San Jose, CA 95120



John Gauldin  
<runjhike@mac.com>

03/04/2010 04:46 PM

Please respond to  
John Gauldin  
<runjhike@mac.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

John Gauldin,  
937 Southampton Dr.  
Charlottesville, VA 22901



John Maloy  
<we4ms@msn.com>

03/05/2010 07:19 AM

Please respond to  
John Maloy  
<we4ms@msn.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

John Maloy,  
16 Lavender Ln  
Bloomington, IL 61704



John Ortiz  
<bfmutts@pacbell.net>

03/03/2010 04:19 PM

Please respond to  
John Ortiz  
<bfmutts@pacbell.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

John Ortiz,  
1240 Nez Perce Dr  
Hollister, CA 95023



John Retiree Martin  
<smartin@antelecom.net>  
03/03/2010 02:27 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc  
Subject "Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft  
RMP/EIS"

DATE: March 3, 2010

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match

the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

John Martin  
1309 West Ave L4  
Lancaster, CA 93534



Johnny Cox  
<jc.dirt@gmail.com>

03/03/2010 03:49 PM

Please respond to  
Johnny Cox  
<jc.dirt@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is

as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

Sincerely,

Johnny Cox,  
9454 Roseburg Court  
Sacramento, CA 95826-5232



jon berkhemer  
<rsberkhemer@sbcglobal.net  
>

03/04/2010 10:38 AM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov

cc

bcc

Subject "Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft  
RMP/EIS"

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
DATE: 2010/03/04

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Thank you,

Mr Berkhemer  
365 Teilh Dr Boulder Creek Ca 95006



Jon Bui  
<JB7868@SBCGlobal.net>

03/03/2010 10:06 PM

Please respond to  
Jon Bui  
<JB7868@SBCGlobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

Sincerely,

Jon Bui,  
1166 Noche Buena ST. apt.B  
Seaside, CA 93955-5939



Jose Acevedo  
<Bobby2533@Gmail.com>

03/03/2010 08:54 PM

Please respond to  
Jose Acevedo  
<Bobby2533@Gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

I have been using OHV since I was 14 years old. My family and I still use OHV's for riding biking and hiking, it is a very important and relaxing recreation that we enjoy as a family. I would

not be fair if my children and grand children could not have the opportunity to experience the OHV lands in the future.

Sincerely,

Jose Acevedo,  
po box 905  
cloverdale, CA 95425



Joseph Nufer  
<sepnufer@live.com>

03/04/2010 04:02 PM

Please respond to  
Joseph Nufer  
<sepnufer@live.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

Dear BLM,

I'm writing today to request that the BLM grant a 90 Extension to the public comment period.

Offroad motorcycle riding is good clean fun. Not so clean sometimes. My friends and I have enjoyed riding motorcycles and camping at Clear Creek since we were in High School. I was heart broken when I heard that the BLM planned to closes this wonderful area.

Please do your best to keep Clear Creek Open.

Thank you,

Joseph S. Nufer

3498 Cabrillo Avenue  
Santa Clara, CA 95051

Sincerely,

Joseph Nufer,  
Zypcom, Inc.  
3498 Cabrillo Avenue  
Santa Clara, CA 95051



Joseph Wilkiemeyer  
<josephw@mac.com>

03/04/2010 08:54 AM

Please respond to  
Joseph Wilkiemeyer  
<josephw@mac.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Joseph Wilkiemeyer,  
1425 Bouret Dr  
San Jose, CA 95118



Josh Davis  
<joshzd@yahoo.com>  
03/03/2010 02:13 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in

the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologist, Karl Ford, had concerns about the study being skewed. The EPA study should be redone by a credible scientific body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the

public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Joshua Davis  
9275 Brightridge Dr.  
Reno, NV 89506



"jph ." <jphphoto@gmail.com>

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov

cc

03/04/2010 07:26 PM

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

To whom it may concern,

This has come to my attention and I wanted to be sure you knew of my concern with greatly limiting access to off highway vehicles to federal land. I've read the information below and agree we need to address this in a different way. My friends and family participate in OHMVR and are against stripping away our rights to continue.

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension. The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud. The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and

the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands. The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation. In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Jason P. Howell  
420 McDonald Ave #1F  
Brooklyn, NY 11218  
917.4497644



"Justin  
Kul@sharetrails.org;ikov"  
<justin.kulikov@att.net>

03/03/2010 08:11 PM

Please respond to  
"Justin"  
Kul@sharetrails.org;ikov"  
<justin.kulikov@att.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I am a 38 year old father and husband of a family who plans all of our vacations and weekends around spending time outdoors. Amongst the activities we enjoy are camping, boating, hunting, fishing, hiking, and at the top of our list is offroading. Clear Creek was someplace I grew up enjoying these activities and had recently introduced my wife and children to. When we were informed of the closure we were astounded. How could one unaudited bogus EPA report so quickly close this wonderful place. Though I have read the DEIS, due to its enormous size and complexity I have not been able to effectively and efficiently analyze this document.

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I hope that you will consider and grant my requests. Please remember we all have our favorite places and activities . Yours could be next on the chopping block.

Sincerely,

Justin Kul;ikov,  
P.O Box 346  
Reedley, CA 93654



"J. Braun" <braunj@cb77.org>

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov

cc

03/03/2010 01:34 PM

Please respond to  
braunj@cb77.org

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Once again I am writing in response to a proposed land closure that will prevent family recreation on public lands.

The Clear Creek land closure seems to be based on bad science and short sighted management. Even the BLM admits that no known case of health injury has occurred in the years of OHV use in the Clear Creek area.

I won't belabor the point with a form letter, rather I will just say this:

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Thank You,

J. Braun  
Amston, CT 06231

Read my blog at:  
[mondaymotomadness.blogspot.com/](http://mondaymotomadness.blogspot.com/)

Today is the last day of your life ~ so far ...

Sent from my Pomegranate  
[www.pomegranatephone.com](http://www.pomegranatephone.com)



"J. Muhlestein"  
<jnabiz@gmail.com>  
03/03/2010 03:22 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: [cahormp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:cahormp@ca.blm.gov)

DATE: March 3, 2010

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I cannot stress how much my family and I have enjoyed using our OHV's on BLM lands over the last 30 years. Seeing these lands get shut down for OHV use is devastating to us and only crowds other areas.

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent

closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office

of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Jed Muhlestein  
192 Acord Way  
Heber, UT 84032



Kade  
<tahoerubito@yahoo.com>  
03/03/2010 10:48 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Clear Creek

3/3/2010

Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased

awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands. The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Kade Hendrick  
1715 Arrowhead  
So. Lake Tahoe Ca, 96150



"Karen Marsh "  
<klmlff@comcast.net>  
03/03/2010 08:14 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Please don't take away one the last remaining family activities that we can all participate in.

Very truly yours,

Luis Faria

1498 Winding Stream Drive

Livermore, Ca. 94551



Kay Hadland  
<kayhadland@yahoo.com>

03/05/2010 08:49 AM

Please respond to  
Kay Hadland  
<kayhadland@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Kay Hadland,  
625 Overlin Drive  
Glenwood Springs, CO 81601



Keith Schreck  
<phoenix\_x\_rising@yahoo.com>

03/05/2010 04:08 PM

Please respond to  
Keith Schreck  
<phoenix\_x\_rising@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

Please help to restore access to this land so that future generations may experience this incredible outdoor space as we had the pleasure of doing in past years. This is one of the few affordable activities for many families these days.

Sincerely,

Keith Schreck,

715 Los Ninos Way  
Los Altos, CA 94022-1508



Ken Flores  
<ken.flores@comcast.net>

03/04/2010 08:46 AM

Please respond to  
Ken Flores  
<ken.flores@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

Sincerely,

Ken Flores,  
1185 Lincoln ST.  
Santa Clara, CA 95050



Ken Perkins  
<perkm38@comcast.net>

03/03/2010 07:36 PM

Please respond to  
Ken Perkins  
<perkm38@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barren. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Ken Perkins,  
18883 West Cavendish Drive  
Castro Valley, CA 94552



**Kevin Bak**  
<kbak105348@aol.com>

03/03/2010 05:56 PM

Please respond to  
Kevin Bak  
<kbak105348@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Kevin Bak,  
58 Foster Ct.  
Appleton, WI 54915-1508



Kevin Beller  
<kevinb@seymourduncan.com>  
m>

03/03/2010 12:48 PM

Please respond to  
Kevin Beller  
<kevinb@seymourduncan.com>  
>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Kevin Beller,  
2899 Stadium Drive  
Solvang, CA 93463



kevin Hedrick  
<satelite.kevin@gmail.com>

03/03/2010 07:56 PM

Please respond to  
kevin Hedrick  
<satelite.kevin@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

kevin Hedrick,  
18115 Lake Forest Dr  
Penn Valley , CA 95946



Kevin Liles  
<motomofo@gmail.com>

03/03/2010 01:51 PM

Please respond to  
Kevin Liles  
<motomofo@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Kevin Liles,  
2489 Coffeeberry Road  
West Sacramento, CA 95691-9569



Kirk Demarest  
<kirk6363@comcast.net>

03/04/2010 08:07 PM

Please respond to  
Kirk Demarest  
<kirk6363@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

My Friends and Family enjoy the outdoors for recreation and pleasure. It is unfair that a whole area is closed for a very small or non-existent risk. If I know of the risks, then I will prepare for that hazard. Please reconsider the position of the closure.

Sincerely,

Kirk Demarest,  
Kirk Demarest Construction  
POBox 6554  
Chico, CA 95927-6554



Kirk Harris  
<harris.k@sbcglobal.net>

03/04/2010 10:02 AM

Please respond to  
Kirk Harris  
<harris.k@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Kirk Harris,  
Pratt & Whitney Rocketdyne  
23023 Runnymede St.  
West Hills, CA 91307



ktrmculver@infowest.com

03/04/2010 03:16 PM

Please respond to  
ktrmculver@infowest.com

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023

DATE: 03/3/2010

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent

safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAS, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

I used to consider CCMA as the premiere OHV destination in California and was very fortunate to have spent many days riding there with friends and family. We lived in Coalinga for many years and have seened first hand the economic impact the recreation community has on it. My children grew up there and learned the joy of exploration and family bonding with our many outings. We sorely miss that opportunity to share it with our grand-children.

Very truly yours,

James Culver  
3515 Red Butte Dr  
Santa Clara, UT 84765  
ktmculver@infowest.com



L B <fremntguy@yahoo.com>  
03/04/2010 08:36 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023

DATE: 03-04-2010

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologist, Karl Ford, had concerns about the study being skewed. The EPA study should be redone by a credible scientific body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a

"single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Les Brekke

39647 Buena Vista Terrace

Fremont, CA 94538

510-623-9774



Ladd Johnson  
<ladd\_johnson@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 07:41 AM

Please respond to  
Ladd Johnson  
<ladd\_johnson@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The Environmental Study for Clear Creek Management Area failed to take into account the many studies showing Chrysotile should not be grouped in with other types of asbestos fibers when looking at potential carcinogenic properties.

80% of the past visitors at Clear Creek Management Area were motorcyclists. The preferred alternative has no provisions for off-road motorcycle recreation. This is a total reversal of the current and historical use at CCMA. The preferred alternative should include a similar motorcycle trail mileage to what was available before the emergency closure.

The DEIS does not contain any Economic Effects of the huge impact to regional economy like gas stations, restaurants, lodging, camping supplies, etc. This must be added to the DEIS with supporting documentation.

There is additional BLM land adjacent to the CCMA that could be opened for OHV recreation. Considering the drastic measures the DEIS proposes this possibility must be considered and added to the DEIS.

The studies used to justify the closure of Clear Creek Management Area are based on 40 hour work week occupational exposure to asbestos. The visitors at CCMA are recreational users with possible exposure of only a couple of hours to a couple of days at a time. The BLM needs to consider the vastly lesser exposure of recreational visitors compared to the studies daily workplace exposure.

The BLM used an EPA report based mostly on theoretical analysis of potential asbestos exposure hazards. There have been no documented cases of lung cancer or any other asbestos related health problems related to recreational exposure at Clear Creek. The BLM should not cite reports which are not supported by actual health cases at Clear Creek.

There appear to be no documented cases of BLM employees diagnosed with cancer due to exposure at CCMA to the Chrysotile form of asbestos. These employees spend far more time in the area than recreational visitors, so if the employees are not experiencing related asbestos health problems then there must not be any real health risk.

Chrysotile asbestos is found all over on the Western slope of the Sierra foot hills, do you plan to shut down and force people out of their homes? The people who live in the Sierra foot hills don't seem to be dropping dead from Chrysotile asbestos. It just another case showing how the EPA, BLM, and environmentalists bias and hate off road enthusiasts.

In addition, closing of yet another riding area seriously impacts the riding areas currently open. In the past few years, I've seen a huge increase in people using Hollister Hills. The trails are impacted (thankfully the excellent staff at Hollister Hills have maintained the trails) and an alarming increase of accidents caused by trail congestion. I personally know of one young man who was paralyzed from the neck down caused by bad trail conditions and over crowding. By supposedly shutting down Clear Creek to save us from asbestos, you are actually causing more danger by overcrowding the existing riding areas.

With Carnegie most likely closing, and now Shasta/Trinity, Stoneford, and Mendocino Forest now likely being shut down do to Obama's National Monument Bill (another 16 million acres being shut down!!!) the problem of over crowding is getting much worse!!!

I've played along since 2003 when the EPA mandated the green sticker law. Okay, I no longer can ride a newer two stroke that is far more simpler and cheaper to maintain than an expensive 4 stroke. Last year I bought a new 4 stroke (probably my last bike because of land closure) that cost nearly \$10K. It cost 50% more than what two strokes cost just 5 years previously and is more costly to maintain. I ride less than 25 hrs a year, yet the EPA thinks that I contribute a significant amount of pollution. What a bunch of horse crap!

I am an American. I thought I was free. I don't believe this now. Our freedoms are constantly being taken away from us by people like you who think they know what is best for us. I believe the government wants us all locked up in our homes dependent on our government to care of us like children. Everything we do that might be considered "dangerous" will be outlawed until we are the dependent soft weak servants you want us to be. Our founding fathers would be disgraced if they saw what you are doing to this country and citizens.

**I WANT MY COMMENTS TO BE ON PUBLIC RECORD**

Ladd Johnson  
Off-Road Enthusiast  
Mountain Biker  
Father of two  
Senior Mechanical Engineer

Sincerely,

**Ladd Johnson,**  
27 Bay Laurel Ct.  
Scotts Valley, CA 95066



Ladd Johnson  
<ladd\_johnson@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 12:56 PM

Please respond to  
Ladd Johnson  
<ladd\_johnson@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack

before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Ladd Johnson,  
27 Bay Laurel Ct.  
Scotts Valley, CA 95066



Larry Beardslee  
<larry\_\_beardslee@hotmail.co  
m>

03/03/2010 09:43 PM

Please respond to  
Larry Beardslee  
<larry\_\_beardslee@hotmail.co  
m>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Closing riding areas only concentrates ridership elsewhere.

Sincerely,

Larry Beardslee,  
10 Old Kettle Falls Rd  
Republic, WA 99166-8793



Larry Garrido  
<larrygarrido@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 10:30 AM

Please respond to  
Larry Garrido  
<larrygarrido@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I support the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Below are my comments and I would like to have them included in the public record.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud. Furthermore you can see in the picture of the quad riders in the DEIS the road (R1) has fresh tractor marks on it, this was a deliberate attempt to stir up more dust.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because the EPA report has had no peer review by others in the scientific community, the report itself is non-scientific and only presumed. there are several publications that discredit the EPA's estimates of the hazards of chrysotile and even the New England Journal of Medicine states this.

Sincerely,

Larry Garrido,  
226 Eaton Road #2  
San Mateo, CA 94402



laymon bunch  
<laymonbunch@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 08:39 PM

Please respond to  
laymon bunch  
<laymonbunch@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

laymon bunch,  
10138 minyoung  
ravenna, OH 44266



Len <lensacres@verizon.net>  
03/04/2010 06:37 AM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc brbrian@sharetrails.org  
bcc  
Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft  
RMP/EIS"

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension. Although I am way over here in Pennsylvania, this is obviously just another frivolous action to keep recreating Americans out of a certain area.

**I support the No Action Alternative** that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. **Permitted OHV events should be allowed.**

Sincerely,  
Leonard Young  
652 Cooper Road  
Monroeville, PA 15146



Linda Chen  
<sfmotorkid@gmail.com>

03/04/2010 05:33 PM

Please respond to  
Linda Chen  
<sfmotorkid@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stra lka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

Sincerely,

Linda Chen,  
816 Central Ave  
Alameda, CA 94501



Lois Kobayashi  
<lkobay@prodigy.net>

03/05/2010 09:24 AM

Please respond to  
Lois Kobayashi  
<lkobay@prodigy.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Lois Kobayashi,  
10947 Eaton Rd, PO Box 1139  
Oakdale, CA 95361-9536



Lon Hadland  
<lhadland@sisna.com>

03/05/2010 08:47 AM

Please respond to  
Lon Hadland  
<lhadland@sisna.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Lon Hadland,  
625 Overlin Drive  
Glenwood Springs, CO 81601



"Lou St.George"  
<lou@nobsgc.com>

03/05/2010 04:19 PM

Please respond to  
"Lou St.George"  
<lou@nobsgc.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Lou St.George,  
Absolute Remodleing, Inc  
PO Box 211  
Deerfield Beach, FL 33443-3344



Lowell  
<webbsfarmsupplies@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 03:29 PM

Please respond to  
Lowell  
<webbsfarmsupplies@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Lowell ,  
Webbs Organic Farm  
p.o. box 8  
Redwood estates , CA 95044



Lowell Webb  
<webbsfarmssupplies@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 03:25 PM

Please respond to  
Lowell Webb  
<webbsfarmssupplies@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Lowell Webb,  
Webbs farm supplies  
5381 old san jose road  
Soquel, CA 95073



MANUEL DATAN  
<MDatan615@aol.com>

03/05/2010 05:20 PM

Please respond to  
MANUEL DATAN  
<MDatan615@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

Sincerely,

MANUEL DATAN,  
WILDER MACHINERY COMPANY  
17030 NINA COURT  
SALINAS, CA 93908



**Marcia Gibbs**  
<margconsult@gmail.com>

03/05/2010 04:02 PM

Please respond to  
Marcia Gibbs  
<margconsult@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

In the current economy, this location represents a unique opportunity for family-friendly recreational activities within a reasonable driving distance of the San Francisco Bay Area. These activities help to promote local businesses and sustain the economy.

Please add these comments to the public record.

Sincerely,

Marcia Gibbs,  
715 Los Ninos Way  
Los Altos, CA 94022-1508



Mark Benzinger  
<mbenzinger@mercerfraser.com>

03/03/2010 12:55 PM

Please respond to  
Mark Benzinger  
<mbenzinger@mercerfraser.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stra lka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

In order for this "science" to be considered, the studies need to be completed in similar conditions that riders and other users enjoy this area. Currently this has not been done. It is becoming evident that the flawed science is being used to justify closures and other restrictions that are already intended by BLM and advocated by other agencies. Please do not let this poor work influence a political decision that affects so many who enjoy the use of BLM land.

Sincerely,

Mark Benzinger,  
5383 Kneeland Rd  
Kneeland, CA 95549



**Mark Harris**  
<mharris867@hotmail.com>

03/03/2010 04:05 PM

Please respond to  
Mark Harris  
<mharris867@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Mark Harris,  
13277 Thornwood Ct.  
Victorville, CA 92392



Mark Hvass  
<bhvass@hotmail.com>  
03/03/2010 01:44 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD. The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent

body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation. In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Mark Hvass  
20615 Ave 164 Porterville, CA 93257

---

Your E-mail and More On-the-Go. Get Windows Live Hotmail Free. [Sign up now.](#)



Mark Meulpolder  
<GpnMark@aol.com>

03/03/2010 02:16 PM

Please respond to  
Mark Meulpolder  
<GpnMark@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Mark Meulpolder,  
Xtreme Unlimited  
1210 Pike Ln.  
Oceano, CA 93433



Mark Mulliner  
<flexxed2000@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 06:37 AM

Please respond to  
Mark Mulliner  
<flexxed2000@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the ; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected

during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. Lets see, 3 muddy bikes in my truck, or 3 clean bikes in my truck for the 3 hr drive, NOT a tough call. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Mark Mulliner,  
433 North 16th st  
Grover Beach Ca, CA 93433



Mark Olund  
<moto79@comcast.net>

03/05/2010 11:27 AM

Please respond to  
Mark Olund  
<moto79@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

My family loves riding at Clear Creek. We have been going there for Thanksgiving and any other chance we get. There is no better place to ride. We support opening the CCMA to OHV recreation as it has been since the 1960's. Please open the riding back up. I have seen no other user type there except for an occasional pig hunter. We pay heavily into the OHV fund but are considering selling all our motorcycles (6) & camping trailer as we can no longer ride CCMA. So it looks like the anti-access groups which will never contribute a dime or go down and enjoy the area will win again. I hope not.

Sincerely,

Mark Olund,  
1769 Osage ave  
Hayward, CA 94545



**Matt Angier**  
<thedick4x4@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 04:33 PM

Please respond to  
Matt Angier  
<thedick4x4@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

My buddies and I have been riding clear creek since we were kids. Its a great alternative to the over crowded and non-challenging terrain of hollister hills. Since CCMA's closure it has forced too many people to go offroading in the already over crowded and smaller parks in the surrounding areas. The main ones: Hollister Hills, Carnegie (which just closed), Metcalf. I think the more a sport expands, so should the areas which permit the use of such sport and the more education we need to produce to keep the environment safe and have our fun at the same time. Closing public land so the public cant use it in my opinion is not the answer.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office

of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

Sincerely,

Matt Angier,  
8889 Empire grade rd  
santa cruz, CA 95060



matt beck  
<matt@lamarbrothers.com>

03/04/2010 06:32 AM

Please respond to  
matt beck  
<matt@lamarbrothers.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

Sincerely,

matt beck,  
pres. salinas Rambler M.C.  
100 Carmel Ave  
Salinas, CA 93901



**Matt Leighton**  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

03/04/2010 06:37 PM

Please respond to  
Matt Leighton  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

Sincerely,

Matt Leighton,  
PO Box 7174  
Spreckels, CA 93962-7174



**Matt Ruiz**  
<theruizclan@sbcglobal.net>

03/03/2010 08:02 PM

Please respond to  
Matt Ruiz  
<theruizclan@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative. I would wash my bike down after each ride we could use reclaimed water

Sincerely,

Matt Ruiz,  
1619 Willowbrook Dr  
San Jose, CA 95118



**Matthew Ruiz**  
<theruizclan@sbcglobal.net>

03/03/2010 07:41 PM

Please respond to  
Matthew Ruiz  
<theruizclan@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Matthew Ruiz,  
1619 Willowbrook Dr  
San Jose, CA 95118



Michael Damaso  
<DEADENDD@juno.com>

03/05/2010 10:36 AM

Please respond to  
Michael Damaso  
<DEADENDD@juno.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

Thank you for this opportunity to participate in the public review of the Bureau of Land Management release of the, Clear Creek Management Area Draft Resource Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DRMP/DEIS).

I can not support any of the alternatives as proposed in the DRMP/DEIS. None of them provide the best out come for OHV use or the public as a whole.

A combination of parts of several alternatives would better serve the public. Alternative A would provide the base that modifications could apply to.

Modifications to apply to Alt. A would be:

1. Designate the entire 75,000 acres CCMA as a "Limited" vehicle use area.
2. Establish new campground, staging area, and OHV recreation facilities in the Cantua Zone.
3. Establish staging area and campground for motorized access (OHV) to Condon Peak from Coalinga-Los Gatos Road.
4. Vehicle use in the CCMA management zones would be limited to already designated routes in "Alt. A" and the addition of proposed trail routes already identified and NEW planned trail routes for OHV (4X4, ATV & Single Track Motorcycle trails), outside of the ACEC that would provide trail recreation with less exposure to Chrysotile form of asbestos found mostly in the ACEC.
5. These measures would allow the public access away from the ACEC without closing the ACEC.
6. 80% of the past visitors at Clear Creek Management Area were motorcyclists. The preferred alternative has no provisions for off-road motorcycle recreation. This is a total reversal of the current and historical use at CCMA. The preferred alternative should include all types of OHV use.

There appear to be no documented cases of BLM employees diagnosed with cancer due to exposure at CCMA to the Chrysotile form of asbestos. These employees spend far more time in the area than recreational visitors, so if the employees are not experiencing related asbestos health problems then there must not be any real health risk.

The BLM used an EPA report based mostly on theoretical analysis of potential asbestos exposure hazards. There have been no documented cases of lung cancer or any other asbestos related health problems related to recreational exposure at Clear Creek. The BLM should not cite reports, which are not supported by actual health cases at Clear Creek.

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

Sincerely,

Michael Damaso,  
Merced Dirt Riders Inc., 4X4 In Motion, CA4WDC, CORVA, BRC, NRA,  
1119 East Linwood Ave.  
Turlock, CA 95380



Michael Garrett  
<garretmdm@cox.net>

03/03/2010 08:04 PM

Please respond to  
Michael Garrett  
<garretmdm@cox.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Michael Garrett,  
Parts Unlimited  
3030 Deluna Dr.  
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275



Michael Lompart  
<MLompartjr@AOL.com>

03/03/2010 01:52 PM

Please respond to  
Michael Lompart  
<MLompartjr@AOL.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Michael Lompart,  
5549 Amethyst  
Alta Loma, CA 91737-2102



Michael Scott  
<scottracing25@aol.com>

03/04/2010 01:24 PM

Please respond to  
Michael Scott  
<scottracing25@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Michael Scott,  
608 Petrol Rd.  
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9756



**Michael Scruggs**  
<mscruggs61@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 05:16 PM

Please respond to  
Michael Scruggs  
<mscruggs61@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Michael Scruggs,  
11766 Creek Bluff Drive  
Poway, CA 92064



michael Shuck  
<mikeshuck@mac.com>

03/03/2010 08:37 PM

Please respond to  
michael Shuck  
<mikeshuck@mac.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

michael Shuck,  
5324 Southbridge Place  
San Jose, CA 95118-9511



Michael Studzinski  
<michaels@semicontooling.com>

03/04/2010 09:17 AM

Please respond to  
Michael Studzinski  
<michaels@semicontooling.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Michael Studzinski,  
Semicon Tooling  
6781 Via Del Oro  
San Jose, CA 95119



Miguel Flores  
<mick.flores@gmail.com>

03/04/2010 12:15 PM

Please respond to  
Miguel Flores  
<mick.flores@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

Please include my following comments in the public record.

I have been engaged in OHV recreation in the CCMA since the early 1970s. I was raised in San Jose, but moved to Hollister to be closer to CCMA. I am a college-educated engineer in Silicon Valley working in the hi-tech electronics industry, and applied the principles of scientific reasoning when evaluating the BLM and EPA's DEIS for CCMA. I thoroughly disagree with the BLM and EPA's assertions about how dangerous the "asbestos" hazard is in CCMA.

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber racer the speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph, as planned by local enduro competition planners. The BLM's planning process must address this issue. In addition, the EPA's assessment of human health risk assumed a very unrealistic 30 years of continual recreation at CCMA. The vast majority of OHV enthusiasts move away from the area or leave the sport as they age.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM

should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis. Note that not a single member of the public in all of the public meetings about CCMA has argued in favor of any alternative other than alternative plan A.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The supposed asbestos health risk associated with OHV recreation in CCMA is insignificant, if not zero, compared with all the other health risks in life that I am allowed to personally manage. I feel that the BLM has over-stepped its mission in restricting access to CCMA for this insignificant health risk. I request that the BLM re-open as per alternative A immediately while it completes the bureaucratic process of the RMP update.

Sincerely,

Miguel Flores,  
1581 Brighton Drive  
Hollister, CA 95023



Mike Adams  
<windzup@gmail.com>

03/03/2010 09:57 PM

Please respond to  
Mike Adams  
<windzup@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

Sincerely,

Mike Adams,  
FBE Inc  
209 Highland Ave  
San Carlos, CA 94070



"Mike Bohnhoff"  
<mike@pro-const.com>  
03/05/2010 03:45 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft  
RMP-EIS

Mike Bohnhoff

1720 Harmil Way, San Jose, CA 95125

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: [cahormp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:cahormp@ca.blm.gov)

DATE: 3/5/2010

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft Resource Management Plan  
& Draft Environmental Impact Statement, dated November 2009. DOI Control Number: DES 09-47

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

Please incorporate the following comments into the DEIS

**1 Comment #1**

Page III and page 4, Purpose and Need. The third stated purpose and need for this DEIS is stated as:

*"Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production; as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area."*

The purpose and need indicates an increased demand for energy production, yet the DEIS indicates this is not the case for CCMA. Stated on page 671:

*"Based on an analysis of past oil and gas related activities within the boundaries of the Hollister Field Office (HFO) and the very small amount of federal mineral estate within areas of high development potential, we project that oil and gas activities on federal mineral estate within the Hollister Field Office area boundary will continue at a relatively minimal level."*

Appendix I, Map 10 shows the oil and gas potential within CCMA as none for the majority of the area, with the outside areas as moderate.

Page 298 states the following: *"However, the potential for development of areas in CCMA for renewable energy projects (e.g., wind and solar), additional communication sites, and other uses are limited, as wind and solar energy have low potential to produce significant economic activity."*

Appendix I, Map 11 shows the wind energy potential for CCMA as less than 10.1 mph, which confirms the statement on page 298.

Thus the data within the DEIS shows that the area has no or very limited potential for energy production, oil, gas, wind, or solar.

As for recreation, decreasing the area available for recreation doesn't address the increased demand for use of public land for recreation. Thus, none of the alternatives addresses the need for this increased demand. All of the alternatives actually reduce the land available for recreation, not increasing it. Thus the stated purpose and need for increased demand for public land for recreation are contradicted within the document and alternatives which decrease the amount of land available, not increasing the amount available, which would satisfy the stated need. Given that the historic use of the area is for recreation, none of the alternatives satisfy the stated purpose and need.

### **1.1 Resolution**

Change the following statement from page III and page 4 as shown below:

*Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production; as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area.*

### **2 Comment #2**

Page III and page 4 of the document is the Purpose and Need. This lists 3 reasons for this document. The first issue is the EPA's CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment (2008). The DEIS states the EPA risk report **"provides significant new information that must be incorporated into the land use plan to evaluate the public health risk associated with the BLM land use authorizations."**

This is an incorrect statement, as there is no new information provided by the EPA report. The area has been known to contain naturally occurring chrysotile minerals for over 150 years, which is why there are numerous large mines that used to mine the chrysotile minerals in the area. From page 14: *"CCMA is a 48-square-mile area that is part of the Atlas Asbestos Mine Superfund Site. Both the CCMA and the mine site are located on a formation of naturally occurring serpentine rock and soil which contain high concentrations of naturally occurring asbestos (NOA)."*

The area has been mined since the mid 1800's per the BLM (page 223). The BLM also has an EPA report from 1992 on the area that supplies the same or similar information. Neither EPA report has any action forcing statements telling the BLM they must or need to take any action in regards to CCMA.

Both the EPA and BLM acknowledge that chrysotile may be less potent than amphibole.

Page 20 of the DEIS states: *"The Federal government has concluded that all forms of asbestos are hazardous to humans, and that all can cause cancer; although the chrysotile form may be less potent than the amphibole family in causing mesothelioma."*

The Purpose and Need fails to set forth a problem that needs to be resolved, it only states that there is a new EPA risk analysis. There has been no discussion of the problem to be solved, which is whether anyone is or has ever been harmed by the Chrysotile mineral present in the CCMA environment, i.e. is it a health hazard to the public while recreating within CCMA. There is absolutely no data supplied within the DEIS indicating anyone has been harmed, or that the surrounding communities have higher incidences of disease of any kind.

A minor amendment to the existing ROD is all that might be needed to deal with the new EPA report.

### **2.1 Resolution**

Remove the following statement from the DEIS on pages III and page 4:.

*The EPA's CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment (2008) provides significant new information that must be incorporated into a land use plan to evaluate the public health risk associated with BLM land use authorizations*

### **3 Comment #3**

Regarding DEIS Paragraph 1.1 Purpose and Need for the CCMA Resource Management Plan, first bulleted paragraph on page 4, which reads:

The EPA's CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment (2008) provides **significant new information** that must be incorporated into a land use plan to evaluate the public health risk associated with BLM land use authorizations.

This is not **Significant New Information** and is not even new information. This study does not document any asbestos related findings that were not documented in previous studies undertaken within the CCMA. The latest EPA study does not require the BLM to take any action at CCMA. The EPA study documents numerous areas of uncertainty in their findings. The current CCMA management plan already addresses asbestos concerns via the dry season closure, asbestos signage, etc.

### **3.1 Resolution**

Remove the following paragraph:

The EPA's CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment (2008) provides **significant new information** that must be incorporated into a land use plan to evaluate the public health risk associated with BLM land use authorizations.

Respectfully ,

Mike Bohnhoff  
1720 Harmil Way  
San Jose, CA 95125  
Cell: 408-591-3423  
Fax: 408-265-4922  
Email: Mike\_bohnhoff@yahoo.com



Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP-EIS.pdf



Mike Chaplin  
<catfish542@comcast.net>

03/03/2010 02:34 PM

Please respond to  
Mike Chaplin  
<catfish542@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Flawed testing, that claims to show a hazard that +30 years of OHV usage without hazard-complications can NOT substantiate, should NEVER define our public-land access policies.

Please stop this pseudo-science-based closure of Clear Creek.

Sincerely,

Mike Chaplin,  
3235 San Gabriel Dr.  
Concord, CA 94518-2806



Mike colsell  
<sbk281@hotmail.com>

03/03/2010 07:15 PM

Please respond to  
Mike colsell  
<sbk281@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Mike colsell,  
710 elm ct  
paso robles, CA 93446



mike locke  
<mike690@earthlink.net>

03/03/2010 06:38 PM

Please respond to  
mike locke  
<mike690@earthlink.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC , ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas

stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue. My friends and I really used to enjoy going to Clear Creek, not only for the riding, but the family atmosphere and people we met. We really hope you consider opening it up once again. Thanks for listening.

Sincerely,

mike locke,  
3619 bass ave  
mendota, CA 93622



mike naps  
<naps781@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 09:28 PM

Please respond to  
mike naps  
<naps781@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

Sincerely,

mike naps,  
1199 doyle circle  
santa clara, CA 95054



mike orr  
<mtconstruction1@comcast.net>

03/03/2010 08:12 PM

Please respond to  
mike orr  
<mtconstruction1@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahornp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stra lka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

Sincerely,

mike orr,  
212 farrell dr  
forestville, CA 95436



mike rustay  
<mrustay@hotmail.com>

03/03/2010 07:01 PM

Please respond to  
mike rustay  
<mrustay@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

mike rustay,  
110 wheeler ave  
redwood city, CA 94061



Mike Wubbels  
<info@focma.org>

03/03/2010 06:49 PM

Please respond to  
Mike Wubbels  
<info@focma.org>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

Sincerely,

Mike Wubbels,  
focma.org  
36633 kenneth ave.  
madera, CA 93636



mountain miller  
<mountain\_eagle@hotmail.co  
m>

03/05/2010 11:45 AM

Please respond to  
mountain miller  
<mountain\_eagle@hotmail.co  
m>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Thank you

Sincerely,

mountain miller,  
1526 W. Dakota Ave.  
Denver, CO 80223



Nate <nate@dirtricks.com>  
03/05/2010 09:42 AM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: cahormp@ca.blm.gov <mailto:cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

DATE:

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That

narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologist, Karl Ford, had concerns about the study being skewed. The EPA study should be redone by a credible scientific body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing

this important OHV area on a permanent basis.  
Very truly yours,

Nathan Delaney  
1289 Saddlehorn Ct  
Minden, NV. 89423  
775-267-6361



Nate DeLaney  
<dirk.delaney@gmail.com>  
03/05/2010 09:47 AM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments Clear Creek Management Area

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: cahormp@ca.blm.gov <mailto:cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

DATE: 3/5/10

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach

that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologist, Karl Ford, had concerns about the study being skewed. The EPA study should be redone by a credible scientific body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,  
Robert Youmans  
Dirt Tricks, Inc.  
2559 Precision Dr #4  
Minden, NV. 89423  
775-267-3035



Nick Johnson  
<neverfreetaos@aol.com>

03/08/2010 07:45 AM

Please respond to  
Nick Johnson  
<neverfreetaos@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Nick Johnson,  
7632 Calle Comodo NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113



orders  
<orders@dirtricks.com>  
03/05/2010 09:46 AM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Comments on Clear Creek

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: cahormp@ca.blm.gov <mailto:cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

DATE: 3/5/10

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach

that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAS, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologist, Karl Ford, had concerns about the study being skewed. The EPA study should be redone by a credible scientific body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Greg Burns  
Dirt Tricks  
2559 Precision Dr. #4  
Minden, NV 89423  
775-267-6361



Oscar Wahlberg  
<oscar.wahlberg@gmail.com>

03/04/2010 09:13 AM

Please respond to  
Oscar Wahlberg  
<oscar.wahlberg@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

The OHMVR commission and several major public interest groups (AMA, BRC, CORVA etc) have petitioned the BLM to extend the comment period of the Clear Creek Management Area DEIS by 90 days.

I would like to voice my support for these petitions for several reasons:

- The DEIS is >700 pages long
- The DEIS is very complicated and contains a lot of technical jargon and data that is hard for a layman to comprehend
- The BLM took 18 months to produce this DEIS and much more 90 days of that was spent in internal review of the document. It is unrealistic to expect the public to be able to accurately understand and comment on a 700 page technical document within 90 days

My comments to the DEIS will be submitted separately, but I support the No Action Alternative (Alternative A).

Sincerely,

Oscar Wahlberg,  
601 Arcadia Terrace #303  
Sunnyvale, CA 94085



Patrick McCaleb  
<pmccaleb@msn.com>

03/03/2010 09:06 PM

Please respond to  
Patrick McCaleb  
<pmccaleb@msn.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Patrick McCaleb,  
29025 Acorn Court  
Coarsegold, CA 93614



PAUL BUCHKOVICH  
<SVETTEGIRL@EMBARQMAIL.COM>

03/03/2010 05:29 PM

Please respond to  
PAUL BUCHKOVICH  
<SVETTEGIRL@EMBARQMAIL.COM>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

Sincerely,

PAUL BUCHKOVICH,  
GOODGUYS  
4210 SE 44TH ST  
OCALA, FL 34480



Paul Hawthorne  
<hawthorne94@astound.net>

03/03/2010 06:29 PM

Please respond to  
Paul Hawthorne  
<hawthorne94@astound.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

I have ridden at Clear Creek many times and the useage is generally restricted to wet weather usage. The asbestos is wetted during this time and poses no hazard.

Many other areas in California have naturally occuring asbestos, some within water areas, such as Marin Municipal Water District. If there are restrictions placed on CCMA based on flawed science, then what precedent does this set and where do the restrictions end?

Sincerely,

Paul Hawthorne,  
273 Gerry Ct  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596



**Paul Henry**  
<toy1195e@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 08:50 PM

Please respond to  
Paul Henry  
<toy1195e@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

Sincerely,

Paul Henry,  
11503 Newland St.  
Westminster, CO 80020



Paul Kobayashi  
<lkobay@prodigy.net>

03/05/2010 09:26 AM

Please respond to  
Paul Kobayashi  
<lkobay@prodigy.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stra lka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

Sincerely,

Paul Kobayashi,  
10947 Eaton Rd, PO Box 1139  
Oakdale, CA 95361-9536



Paul Ward  
<k6paw1@gmail.com>

03/04/2010 07:07 AM

Please respond to  
Paul Ward  
<k6paw1@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Paul Ward,  
32770 Rd. 224  
North Fork, CA 93643



Paul White  
<pwhite5067@aol.com>

03/03/2010 01:19 PM

Please respond to  
Paul White  
<pwhite5067@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Please reopen more area for Americans to use for recreation. Enough closures, already.

Sincerely,

Paul White,  
4735 Hibiscus St.  
West Richland , WA 99353



Pete <pw1018@yahoo.com>  
03/04/2010 01:26 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: [cahormp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:cahormp@ca.blm.gov)

March 4, 2010

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead

rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands. The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

William G. Ward  
POB 1822  
Silverthorne, CO 80498



pete hunter  
<petehunter86@gmail.com>

03/04/2010 02:48 PM

Please respond to  
pete hunter  
<petehunter86@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

Sincerely,

pete hunter,  
San Jose BMW Motorcycles  
340 Larita Drive  
Ben Lomond, CA 95005



Pete Tanzillo  
<petetanzillo@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 08:44 PM

Please respond to  
Pete Tanzillo  
<petetanzillo@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

Sincerely,

Pete Tanzillo,  
3516 Monterey Blvd.  
Oakland, CA 94619



**Peter Cassidy**  
<pcassidy111@comcast.net>

03/04/2010 06:59 PM

Please respond to  
Peter Cassidy  
<pcassidy111@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Peter Cassidy,  
128 Lloyd Ave.  
Fremont, CA 94536



Peter Sakai  
<petersakai@pacbell.net>

03/03/2010 05:48 PM

Please respond to  
Peter Sakai  
<petersakai@pacbell.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

In conclusion I urge you to extend the comment period. I care about the future of the CCMA and want to participate in this process. Please do not exclude me by enforcing the current due date.

Sincerely,

Peter Sakai,  
1023 Esperanza Way  
San Jose, CA 95138



"Peter, Keith E"  
<Keith.E.Peter@boeing.com>  
03/04/2010 05:22 AM

To "cahormp@ca.blm.gov" <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: cahormp@ca.blm.gov<  
<http://us.mc302.mail.yahoo.com/mc/compose?to=cahormp@ca.blm.gov>>

DATE: 3/04/2010

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for

recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body. The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours, Keith Peter



Philip Wunderle  
<JustusW@aol.com>

03/03/2010 04:58 PM

Please respond to  
Philip Wunderle  
<JustusW@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I think that the BLM has made an egregious error in closing CCMA. I think that it is obvious from the data that the risk posed by asbestos did not necessitate an emergency closure. The risk of harm is not immediate and is not clear. I think that there is huge value to large numbers of people in having places like CCMA to go adventuring. Riding a dirt bike or any off road vehicle at CCMA is a legacy of the old west. It comprises adventure, sport, athletic endeavor and just pure fun (and fun is good for people and families).

I support the no action alternative in the DEIS. I do not see that there is immediate or likely risk from the arguments presented by the EPA.

I think that there should be adequate opportunity by the OHMVR to comment on the DEIS and this has not been done.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

I wish to have my comments recorded in the public record.

Sincerely,

Philip Wunderle,  
1714 Walnut St.  
El Cerrito, CA 94530



R Bruce Leighton  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

03/04/2010 10:42 AM

Please respond to  
R Bruce Leighton  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

Hi, My name is Bruce Leighton, I'm 13 years old and I want to ask you to extend the comment period for the Clear Creek issue for 90 days. My dad, me and my friends all need more time to study.

Thank you  
Bruce Leighton

Sincerely,

R Bruce Leighton,  
PO Box 7174  
Spreckels, CA 93962-7174



"randy"  
<hwy204@gmail.com>  
03/04/2010 06:28 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: [cahormp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:cahormp@ca.blm.gov)

DATE: 03/04/2010

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologist, Karl Ford, had concerns about the study being skewed. The EPA study should be redone by a credible scientific body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Randy Norton

53227 Ferndale Rd

Milton Freewater OR 97862



"randy"  
<hwy204@gmail.com>  
03/03/2010 05:45 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: [cahormp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:cahormp@ca.blm.gov)

03/03/2010

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologist, Karl Ford, had concerns about the study being skewed. The EPA study should be redone by a credible scientific body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Randy Norton

909 N 8th Ave

Walla Walla WA 99362



**Randy Frint**  
<rfrint@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 03:46 PM

Please respond to  
Randy Frint  
<rfrint@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Randy Frint,  
125 Abbott Ln.  
Arcata, CA 95521



Ray Iddings  
<ray@3rocks.org>

03/03/2010 01:05 PM

Please respond to  
Ray Iddings <ray@3rocks.org>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Ray Iddings,  
Three Rocks Research  
142 Iowa Drive  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-2447



"Raymond Kirchner"  
<rayzorka@yahoo.com>  
03/03/2010 07:12 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

I am an avid motorcyclist and outdoor enthusiast. OHV areas have provided a means for me, my wife and young son to enjoy the outdoors and our love for off road motorcycling. We enjoy OHV areas for the beauty and camping as well as the riding.

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Thank you  
Ray Kirchner



Rebekah Hermann  
<Bexx85@msn.com>

03/04/2010 12:20 PM

Please respond to  
Rebekah Hermann  
<Bexx85@msn.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

For my family, OHV land is a very important part of our family time. We take our three kids riding with us a want to continue our family vacations. Engaging our kids in activities will help prevent future possibilities of misbehavior.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

Sincerely,

Rebekah Hermann,  
38275 SE Trubel rd  
Sandy, OR 97055



"Regentine, Russell C (E S SF  
RNA FS 1 9 2)"  
<russell.regentine@siemens.com>

03/03/2010 12:54 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Russell Regentine  
2213 Westbourne Drive  
Oviedo, FL 32765



**Renae Virden**  
<kayhadland@yahoo.com>

03/05/2010 08:51 AM

Please respond to  
Renae Virden  
<kayhadland@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Renae Virden,  
640 School Street  
Craig, CO 81626



"Rhonda Mumm"  
<rhondamumm@rap.midco.net>

03/03/2010 02:08 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: [cahormp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:cahormp@ca.blm.gov)

DATE: March 3, 2010

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas

stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current

science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Rhonda Mumm

909 Farlow Ave

Rapid City, SD 57701



Richard Adan  
<rick.adan@sri.com>

03/03/2010 01:33 PM

Please respond to  
Richard Adan  
<rick.adan@sri.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

All your logic is faulted. It is obvious that you have an leftist agenda and you intend to keep Clear Creek closed.

Sincerely,

Richard Adan,  
1310 Homestead Lane  
Hayward, CA 94545



Richard Dent  
<Rich@dentfam.us>

03/03/2010 01:53 PM

Please respond to  
Richard Dent  
<Rich@dentfam.us>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Richard Dent,  
Novell  
291 E Hudson Dr  
Elk Ridge, UT 84651



"Richard D. Pankey"  
<dickpankey@juno.com>  
03/05/2010 11:57 AM

To cahornp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023

March 4, 2010

Dear Planning Coordinator

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. It seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were

collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,  
Richard Pankey  
4310 Kingsly Dr.  
Pittsburg, CA 94565



Richard Eidsmoe  
<eidsco@cox.net>

03/03/2010 12:40 PM

Please respond to  
Richard Eidsmoe  
<eidsco@cox.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Richard Eidsmoe,  
10008 Anja Pl  
Lakeside, CA 92040



Richard Marlin  
<rmarin@modernworld.com>

03/03/2010 01:44 PM

Please respond to  
Richard Marlin  
<rmarin@modernworld.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Richard Marlin,  
1342 Powell Street  
Emeryville, CA 84608



Rick Guidice  
<guidicer@gte.net>

03/04/2010 09:09 AM

Please respond to  
Rick Guidice  
<guidicer@gte.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I represent the California Enduro Rider Association and a number of families and individuals in the greater San Francisco bay area and northern California which are interested in public lands issues and the preservation of public access and multi-purpose recreation opportunities on public lands. These comments are submitted to help shape future land management and to develop safeguards for the conservation and maintenance of cultural well-being provided in recreation opportunities in our Bureau of Land Management lands.

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

Sincerely,

Rick Guidice,  
California Enduro Rider Assoc.  
21 Mariposa Ave  
Los Gatos CA 95030, CA 95030



Robert Adams  
<bobadamspahrump@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 06:16 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area.

The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject.

The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,  
Robert Adams  
4661 McGraw Rd  
Pahrump, NV 89061



**Robert Burson**  
<robburson@hotmail.com>

03/04/2010 11:41 AM

Please respond to  
Robert Burson  
<robburson@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Robert Burson,  
31930 SE Pipeline Rd  
Gresham, OR 97080-9708



Robert Castelhana  
<robert.castelhana@charter.net>

03/03/2010 02:32 PM

Please respond to  
Robert Castelhana  
<robert.castelhana@charter.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

My family and I have been riding the Clear Creek area for more than 30 years. It would be a travesty to close such a great recreational area, especially in light of young kids involved in gang activity and drugs just to name a few. My family and I can attest to the pro-family attitudes shared by all off road people we've ever met. We've spent great weekends with family and friends at Clear creek riding some of the best trails in California. I hate to think what's going to happen if this closure trend continues. Give family's the chance to recreate in the way we've come to love. RIDING ONE OF OUR FAVORITE AREAS!

Sincerely,

Robert Castelhana,  
275 Hilltop Dr Apt 18  
Redding, CA 96003-3240



Robert Castelhana  
<robert.castelhana@charter.net>

03/03/2010 02:04 PM

Please respond to  
Robert Castelhana  
<robert.castelhana@charter.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Robert Castelhana,  
275 Hilltop Dr Apt 18  
Redding, CA 96003-3240



Robert Condra  
<robertcondra@yahoo.com>  
03/04/2010 09:40 AM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

3/4/2010

Hello!

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Regards,  
Robert Condra  
11539 See Dr  
Whittier, CA 90606  
[robertcondra@yahoo.com](mailto:robertcondra@yahoo.com)



Robert H Leighton II  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

03/05/2010 07:48 AM

Please respond to  
Robert H Leighton II  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I Send this correspondence and request for consideration of the reopening of the CCMA for the following reasons:

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM

should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Robert H Leighton II,  
PO Box 7174  
Spreckels, CA 93962-7174



Robert H Leighton II  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

03/05/2010 07:43 AM

Please respond to  
Robert H Leighton II  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA.

In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

Sincerely,

Robert H Leighton II,  
PO Box 7174  
Spreckels, CA 93962-7174



Robert H Leighton II  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

03/04/2010 01:11 PM

Please respond to  
Robert H Leighton II  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

As a Law abiding, Tax paying citizen I and my family think our voices need to be heard and respected.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

I want to voice my and my families support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Robert H Leighton II,  
PO Box 7174  
Spreckels, CA 93962-7174



Robert H Leighton II  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

03/04/2010 10:36 AM

Please respond to  
Robert H Leighton II  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

Sincerely,

Robert H Leighton II,  
PO Box 7174  
Spreckels, CA 93962-7174



"Robert Howard"  
<robert@lunar.com>  
03/04/2010 12:10 AM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

I am an active and avid OHV user who has enjoyed visits to Clear Creek several times in my life, always with family or friends, and always enjoying the serenity and challenge of the terrain. Additionally, we were always sufficiently informed by signage and outreach efforts of the potential risks of environmental asbestos and pre-existing mining activity, and on each occasion, capable (as adults and parents) of determining the degree of risk we chose to accept, if any.

I was crestfallen to learn of the inopportune and heavy-handed closure of CCMA, as it has denied me the opportunity to enjoy these lands in any way. I will not have many more years to enjoy this area before my kids leave for college and other activities, so this closure must be terminated as soon as possible if BLM is to ever correctly fulfill its mission of true land management, not self-protection regardless of its duty to the public.

The above said, I have personally read the DEIS and found it wanting in several respects. Comparing my thoughts to those of others, I have learned of more shortcomings in the DEIS which further eliminate justification for the current closure.

I have also learned that many organizations have petitioned the BLM to extend the current DEIS comment period, and want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to ensure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

Now, to the DEIS itself:

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and

regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud. And as a 4x4 driver, I universally drive slowly enough to avoid creating dust. Thus EPA's sample procedure is therefore doubly invalid.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologist, Karl Ford, had concerns about the study being skewed. The EPA study should be redone by a credible scientific body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile

since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative. I, for one, wish every OHV area had a wash rack.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,  
Robert Howard  
2895 Emerson St.  
Palo Alto, CA 94301



Robert Leighton II  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

03/04/2010 10:50 AM

Please respond to  
Robert Leighton II  
<bobL2@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

I also want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Robert Leighton II,  
PO Box 7174  
Spreckels, CA 93962-7174



Robert Pushwa  
<bob\_pushwa@irco.com>

03/03/2010 01:24 PM

Please respond to  
Robert Pushwa  
<bob\_pushwa@irco.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Robert Pushwa,  
1705 Vistagrand Drive  
San Leandro, CA 94577



robert smith  
<robbie\_3146@razzolink.com  
>

03/05/2010 09:26 AM

Please respond to  
robert smith  
<robbie\_3146@razzolink.com  
>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The only conclusion should be the full opening of the CC management area open for all activities.

Sincerely,

robert smith,  
28779 underwood rd  
salinas, CA 93908



**Roger Pennington**  
<rogerap@msn.com>

03/03/2010 07:53 PM

Please respond to  
Roger Pennington  
<rogerap@msn.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes

in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Roger Pennington,  
164 Sandia Cir. Co. Rd. 520  
Bayfield, CO 81122-9407



Ronald MAITREJEAN  
<leadfoot320@hotmail.com>

03/04/2010 05:45 AM

Please respond to  
Ronald MAITREJEAN  
<leadfoot320@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Ronald MAITREJEAN,  
16785 WILLIAMS HWY  
WILLIAMS, OR 97544



Ronald Wilcher  
<ron95020@yahoo.com>

03/05/2010 09:24 AM

Please respond to  
Ronald Wilcher  
<ron95020@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Ronald Wilcher,  
7810 Monterey St. Ste C  
Gilroy, CA 95020



Ronald Wilcher  
<ron95020@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 01:56 PM

Please respond to  
Ronald Wilcher  
<ron95020@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San

Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing

this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Ronald Wilcher  
7810 Monterey St. Ste C  
Gilroy, Ca. 95020

Sincerely,

Ronald Wilcher,  
7810 Monterey St. Ste C  
Gilroy, CA 95020



Rory Dow  
<greatdeal@comcast.net>

03/04/2010 01:24 PM

Please respond to  
Rory Dow  
<greatdeal@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Rory Dow,  
134 Blakeslee way  
folsom, CA 95630



Ryan Raymond  
<YoshRyan@me.com>

03/05/2010 09:16 AM

Please respond to  
Ryan Raymond  
<YoshRyan@me.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

Sincerely,

Ryan Raymond,  
Yoshimura  
5420 Daniels St.  
Chino, CA 91710



sales <sales@dirtricks.com>  
03/05/2010 09:43 AM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: cahormp@ca.blm.gov <mailto:cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

DATE: 3/5/10

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That

narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologist, Karl Ford, had concerns about the study being skewed. The EPA study should be redone by a credible scientific body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing

this important OHV area on a permanent basis.  
Very truly yours,

Amy Delaney  
2559 Precision Dr #4  
Minden, NV 89423



Scott Johnston  
<cruzila@wildblue.net>

03/03/2010 02:27 PM

Please respond to  
Scott Johnston  
<cruzila@wildblue.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Scott Johnston,  
4500 Ingot Lane  
Garden Valley, CA 95633



scott sinclair  
<putorius@dishmail.net>

03/03/2010 01:06 PM

Please respond to  
scott sinclair  
<putorius@dishmail.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

As a retired Forest Service OHV Program Manager, National OHV Master Performer, Off Highway Coach and ATV Instructor, professional wildlife biologist and OHV family enthusiast who has recreated at Clear Creek Management Area (CCMA) for decades, I wish to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. I also request that my letter to you be included in the public record in any process that the BLM takes regarding the future of CCMA. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop

Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support and demand the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

scott sinclair,  
1275 S Lee St  
st david, AZ 85630



Sean Greer  
<therealroadstar@yahoo.com>  
>

03/03/2010 03:53 PM

Please respond to  
Sean Greer  
<therealroadstar@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Sean Greer,  
70 Lazy S Lane  
Chico, CA 95928



Shawn Baker  
<shawnkayak@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 01:17 PM

Please respond to  
Shawn Baker  
<shawnkayak@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

Thanks to the OHMVR for the responsible vote to petition the Bureau of Land Management to extend the public comment period for ninety days.

Over the past thirty years, over \$9 Million of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the CCMA. The BLM has accepted these funds, and must manage them responsibly for the multiple uses intended.

The BLM's own actions reflect the complexity of this subject; they delayed the release of the RMP/DEIS for over a year, yet seem unwilling to consider requests for an extension of the public comment period. The Public deserves the same consideration they give the BLM in time to consider this important matter.

Further, the EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public.

The BLM has not taken any action to replace the CCMA with an equivalent OHV area.

Sincerely,

Shawn Baker,  
2268 Mission Trail Road  
Kalispell, MT 59901



shawn harvey  
<dal6031@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 11:32 PM

Please respond to  
shawn harvey  
<dal6031@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

Sincerely,

shawn harvey,  
161 richard pl  
vacaville, CA 95687



**Sherri Broome**  
<byebyecherrypie@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 04:52 PM

Please respond to  
Sherri Broome  
<byebyecherrypie@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Sherri Broome,  
Paradise Gem & Mineral Society  
6119 Pentz Road  
Paradise, CA 95969



skip zierolf  
<brokenbikes@hotmail.com>

03/04/2010 04:34 PM

Please respond to  
skip zierolf  
<brokenbikes@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

skip zierolf,  
1279 e avenida ellena  
casa grande, AZ 85222



Stacie Albright  
<stacie@staciealbright.com>

03/03/2010 02:33 PM

Please respond to  
Stacie Albright  
<stacie@staciealbright.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Stacie Albright,  
8024 Main Street  
Mokelumne Hill, CA 95245



Stephen Dix  
<sdix@ix.netcom.com>

03/03/2010 07:18 PM

Please respond to  
Stephen Dix  
<sdix@ix.netcom.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

Sincerely,

Stephen Dix,  
360 Twin Pines Drive  
Scotts Valley, CA 95066



Stephen Smith  
<stephenssmith@msn.com>

03/03/2010 04:24 PM

Please respond to  
Stephen Smith  
<stephenssmith@msn.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Stephen Smith,  
6330 S. College Ave.  
Tempe, AZ 85283-2812



"Stephens, Mike"  
<Mike.Stephens@ColoState.E  
DU>

03/04/2010 06:54 AM

To "cahormp@ca.blm.gov" <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023

Dear Planning Coordinator,

My family and I spend many enjoyable hours recreating in our National Forests, National Parks and on BLM lands. During our visits we like to get out in nature with family and friends. We usually travel to our chosen destination via a four wheel drive vehicle as it allows us to get away from paved roads and into areas we could not otherwise reach by other means. Upon arrival at our final destination we spend our time camping, hiking, fishing, hunting, and traveling the trails in the vicinity with our Jeep and other OHVs taking in the beautiful scenery that our public lands afford us. So in the effort to save time I found a pre-written letter as to which I strongly agree with. I included it next with an additional piece at the end.

*"I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.*

*The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.*

*The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.*

*The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.*

*The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud. Furthermore, the EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.*

*The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.*

*The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.*

*The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.*

*The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.*

*In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.*

*I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.*

*If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis."*

Additionally:

Please identify the need to emphasize a diverse range of trail- based recreation as a formal planning issue and develop at least one alternative where the planning regulations identify motorized and non-motorized recreation as a key ecosystem services and provides direction to enhance and expand opportunities for these popular activities.

Indeed, we as an OHV community have voluntarily taxed ourselves in order to provide funds to the agencies involved so they can actively and effectively accomplish these tasks. These trust funds are currently being raided by Governors across our Nation in an ill fated attempt to balance their budget short falls leaving the OHV community with little funding to maintain our remaining recreational infrastructure. All alternatives should clarify the distinction between programmatic and site- specific planning and at least attempt to describe what level of environmental analysis is required in each.

Each year more and more lands are removed from multiple use management. Past planning activities and litigation have significantly expanded preservation- oriented management and significantly reduced areas available for multiple use. The reduction of multiple- use, sustained- yield management has been identified as a key problem affecting the health and economic well-being of States and local communities.

The issue of "cumulative loss of multiple use sustained yield management" should be identified as a formal planning issue and brought forward for analysis. At least one alternative should include planning direction to enhance multiple- use, sustained- yield management. All Alternatives should include a complete analysis of the history of the MUSY Act and its sociopolitical importance to states with large areas of federally managed lands.

No one can deny that a very large percent of the public's forests are unhealthy. There is agreement that moving to a historic range of variability, at least in as much as we understand it, is probably wise. However, the only tool available to manipulate those variables in designated Wilderness and Inventoried Roadless Areas (IRAs) is prescribed fire.

This will be a big problem for the new focus on Restoration. Unlike what the general public believes, IRA's include lands that are highly modified, and not just by decades of fire suppression. Many have been commercially logged in the past and these "plantations" are susceptible to unnatural wildfire, insect and disease.

Therefore, it is logical to develop an alternative that emphasizes a more aggressive approach to achieving the historic range of variability outside Roadless areas and Wilderness.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Warmly,

Mike Stephens  
21179 CR 53  
Iliff, CO  
001 (970) 213.1065



Steve Boardman  
<ssboardman@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 04:40 PM

Please respond to  
Steve Boardman  
<ssboardman@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Steve Boardman,  
532 Curie Drive  
San Jose, CA 95123



Steve Christensen  
<stevechrist@earthlink.net>

03/04/2010 06:15 AM

Please respond to  
Steve Christensen  
<stevechrist@earthlink.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Steve Christensen,  
38820 Judie Way  
Fremont, CA 94536



Steve Egbert  
<steve@calaccess.net>

03/03/2010 07:55 PM

Please respond to  
Steve Egbert  
<steve@calaccess.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I have been very disappointed in the actions of the BLM and Mr Cooper. It has been reported that Mr. Cooper was not cooperative at a recent OHMVR Commission meeting in San Jose. If Mr. Cooper will not answer questions to a state agency how accountable is he to the public.

The BLM is a large government agency using tax funds to employ many experts to develop a large document that the general public is supposed to understand and comment on in 90 days. I am a farmer not a government expert. This whole process appears to be set up to close Clear Creek and use public risk as an excuse.

I believe the EPA studies were fatally flawed because of information regarding different types of asbestos and the sampling methods used.

I am sure this letter will be easily disregarded by the BLM and Mr. Cooper. The BLM would like to pursue management by closure and not fulfill the actual BLM mission.

Sincerely,

Steve Egbert,  
California Association of Four Wheel Drive Clubs  
1465 N. M St.  
Tulare, CA 93274



Steve Farinelli  
<papareno5@sbcglobal.net>

03/04/2010 03:48 PM

Please respond to  
Steve Farinelli  
<papareno5@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Steve Farinelli,  
3154 Glenville  
madera, CA 93637



Steve Wright  
<metlchip@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 02:55 PM

Please respond to  
Steve Wright  
<metlchip@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Steve Wright,  
4145 Via La Paz  
Napa, CA 94558-1941



Steven Esau  
<sredae81@hotmail.com>

03/03/2010 01:27 PM

Please respond to  
Steven Esau  
<sredae81@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

Sincerely,

Steven Esau,  
1950 ave 400  
Kingsburg, CA 93631



Terry Nimmo  
<rockcrawler4x@sbcglobal.net  
>

03/03/2010 10:17 PM

Please respond to  
Terry Nimmo  
<rockcrawler4x@sbcglobal.net  
>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension. I have been visiting Clear creek for 25 years please grant the extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trail riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its

other lands.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis. I have been visiting Clear creek for 25 years please grant the extension.

Sincerely,

Terry Nimmo,  
682 N Princeton  
Coalinga, CA 93210



Thomas  
<PAToyota@verizon.net>  
03/03/2010 06:12 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft  
RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I am writing to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I find that the EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

Further, the EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Thomas Bank  
281 Walton Street  
Lemoyne, PA 17043



Thomas Amador  
<master\_tamador@hotmail.com>

03/03/2010 02:35 PM

Please respond to  
Thomas Amador  
<master\_tamador@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Thomas Amador,  
555 Honey Ln.  
Oakley, CA 94561



Thomas Ketter  
<thomasketter@yahoo.com>  
03/03/2010 01:24 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

DATE:

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

My family and I spend most of our free time outdoors. We are avid motorcyclists and well as 4 wheel drive off-roaders. These activities combine our love of nature with the excitement of motor sports activities. There really is no substitute for these hobbies. Please read the rest of these comments in light of the fact that I am not a political activist, lobbyist or any of the "usual suspects" you might see letters from. I am a family man who loves the outdoors. I treat all the recreation areas I visit with as much care as if it were my own yard. For my family and thousands of others, please fight to keep OHV areas open and when possible, expand them.

I am voicing my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days.

In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands

outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Kind regards,

Thomas Ketter

865 Bali Court

Danville, CA 94526



Thomas Neu  
<thomas.neu@comcast.net>

03/04/2010 03:02 PM

Please respond to  
Thomas Neu  
<thomas.neu@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes

and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Thomas Neu,  
15 Rancho Fiesta Rd  
Carmel Valley, CA 93924



Thurman Creel Jr  
<tjcr61@sbcglobal.net>

03/03/2010 09:01 PM

Please respond to  
Thurman Creel Jr  
<tjcr61@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

Sincerely,

Thurman Creel Jr,  
2911 Winery Ave  
Clovis, CA 93612-4612



Thurman Creel Jr  
<tjcr61@sbcglobal.net>

03/03/2010 08:55 PM

Please respond to  
Thurman Creel Jr  
<tjcr61@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Thurman Creel Jr,  
2911 Winery Ave  
Clovis, CA 93612-4612



Tim Clark  
<timc1234@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 07:25 PM

Please respond to  
Tim Clark  
<timc1234@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Tim Clark,  
106 Estates Dr.  
Orinda, CA 94563



Tim McDowell  
<T\_L\_McDowell@yahoo.com>

03/04/2010 09:18 AM

Please respond to  
Tim McDowell  
<T\_L\_McDowell@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

The closure of Clear Creek based on the skewed, inaccurate, erroneous, and falsified practices and studies conducted by the BLM and EPA needs to STOP NOW!

This is getting old and I hope that very soon it will turn legal and justice will be served. When that happens all of these smoke and mirrors and blatant lies and untruths will be aired out and I hope that someone sees the rest of the world through a set of bars for a very long time since that seems the only place to put them to keep them from messing up even more of our livelihood!

The whole drag out the DEIS report for over a year and then release it during the holiday season, not allow or take in public comments or hold any meetings, and give a short time period for the public in general to learn anything about this is no different than theft.

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Better yet, don't worry about the extension!!! That's fine. Don't extend the comment period, don't put on any meetings, don't worry about what the economic impact that this will have on the surrounding areas... JUST OPEN CLEAR CREEK!!!!!! See it'd be simple now wouldn't it? It is well known that the studies are skewed, as well as falsified. It is well known that words have been removed from the EPA's comments by request of members of the BLM. There are copies and copies of the information. It is well known that the fibers found in CCMA are NOT hazardous and even if they were it would take an insane amount of exposure for them to be a hazard. It's also well known that there are still workers today in the area doing work on the grounds without ANY form of respiratory protection! When are you (Cooper) going to learn that this is a waste of time and energy and just open the gates back up and allow the public to recreate as they have been doing for years? It would be a LOT less troublesome for you. This fight will carry on. You see, when those of us who would be there enjoying our days riding around the place and getting our kids out in the sun and fun have nothing better to do, we'll be here! Dropping notes, writing letters, and finding out all of the lies and deceit that you've been spewing. That in turn will become evidence for a criminal trial. So why don't you just open Clear

Creek and LET US RIDE!!!!

Sincerely,

Tim McDowell,  
1113 17th Ave  
Redwood City, CA 94063



Tim Richards  
<timo992001@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 09:54 PM

Please respond to  
Tim Richards  
<timo992001@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Also it is my tax dollars at work and these palces need to be kept open for all to enjoy and help keep kids off the streets. This area gives friends and families a place to go and use the outdoors which the government keeps trying to take away from us.

Sincerely,

Tim Richards,  
40119 kelly st  
fremont , CA 94538



tom keith <gluhed@aol.com>

03/05/2010 07:23 AM

Please respond to  
tom keith <gluhed@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

tom keith,  
519 spring st  
Redwood City, CA 94063



tom taflin  
<ttaflin@hotmail.com>

03/03/2010 08:23 PM

Please respond to  
tom taflin  
<ttaflin@hotmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

tom taflin,  
1421 N. Santa Barbara Dr.  
minden, NV 89423



**Tomi Johnson**  
<nbts1@sbcglobal.net>

03/05/2010 07:43 AM

Please respond to  
Tomi Johnson  
<nbts1@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes

and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Tomi Johnson,  
1045 8th Street  
Novato, CA 94945



Tony McCants  
<tmccants@kierwright.com>

03/04/2010 07:49 AM

Please respond to  
Tony McCants  
<tmccants@kierwright.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

Sincerely,

Tony McCants,  
Kier and Wright Civil Engineers  
1233 Quarry Lane, Suite 145  
pleasanton, CA 94566



Travis Franz  
<wantaharley@hotmail.com>  
03/03/2010 05:17 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD. The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent

body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation. In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,  
Travis Franz  
12178 Kittredge St.

Brighton CO 80603

---

Hotmail: Powerful Free email with security by Microsoft. [Get it now.](#)



Travis Patzkowsky  
<TravisPatzkowsky@northpoi  
nte.org>

03/04/2010 11:27 AM

To "cahormp@ca.blm.gov" <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: cahormp@ca.blm.gov

DATE: March 4, 2010

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

I have enjoyed riding dirt bikes with my family for many years in the Clear Creek area. It has been a great opportunity for us to share something in common and build our relationships with each other. Riding at Clear Creek in my earlier years was key in developing who I am today as it was time spent with my father as he helped me to overcome obstacles. It was the lessons I learned years ago with my father at Clear Creek that have made me into the man that I am today. As far as safety is concerned my father has been riding there his whole life and as never suffered any illness from it. We have always avoided riding at Clear Creek in the dry times for fear of naturally occurring asbestos and I believe we have fared quite well. One simply needs to take the proper precautions and we can all be healthy.

I believe it is the job of the BLM/EPA to assess danger and make the public aware of risks but they should not close lands due to inherent dangers. It is up to us as the people to make our individual choice as to whether benefits outweigh the risks or not. We don't outlaw cars because they are dangerous or prescription medications because they have side effects. We shouldn't close down or fence off lands because they are dangerous. Those dangers should be posted and people need to be well informed of the risks. Also, we need to make sure that the BLM/EPA have taken the appropriate measure to gather accurate data so that we as the people can make our own decisions as to the risk/benefit ratio.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a

growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

Sincerely,

Travis Patzkowsky  
1988 N. State  
Fresno CA, 93722



"Tribe4"  
<tribe4@cableone.net>  
03/03/2010 04:47 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS"

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: [cahormp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:cahormp@ca.blm.gov)

DATE:

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas

stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current

science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Jon & Tammie Wilcox  
[tribe4@cableone.net](mailto:tribe4@cableone.net)  
649 Apache way  
Twin Falls , Id, 83301



Troy Weis  
<tmweis@sbcglobal.net>

03/05/2010 09:50 AM

Please respond to  
Troy Weis  
<tmweis@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension. I have grown up riding in the Clear Creek Management Area as My Father understood the importance of having open land for the free Americans and it is difficult to share this view with my children these days. As land is constantly taken due to unfair matters...

Sincerely,

Troy Weis,  
1337 San Pedro Ave.  
Ceres, CA 95307



URIEL COLLETT  
<tc308@socal.rr.com>

03/03/2010 07:28 PM

Please respond to  
URIEL COLLETT  
<tc308@socal.rr.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

My family enjoy the outdoors and OHV areas so much. Please consider our request.  
Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

URIEL COLLETT,  
8211 San Angelo Dr. Apt A2  
HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA 92647



Vince LaROcca  
<vinny126@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 09:46 PM

Please respond to  
Vince LaROcca  
<vinny126@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

Vince LaROcca,  
7165 Revere PL  
Gilroy, CA 95020



Wade Wilson  
<wwilson@gaic.com>

03/03/2010 12:58 PM

Please respond to  
Wade Wilson  
<wwilson@gaic.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Me and my family have been riding and racing at Clear Creek since the 1990's. Some of the best enduros in California were held there and we have had many great camping trips to the area. None of us has ever had a an illness from going there. We consider Clear Creek a jewel for OHV use and its closure has been a great loss to all of us who ride and enjoy the outdoors.

Sincerely,

Wade Wilson,  
2206 Blackstone Dr.  
Walnut Creek, CA 94598



Walter Koch  
<wekco@aol.com>

03/03/2010 05:53 PM

Please respond to  
Walter Koch  
<wekco@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Walter Koch,  
PO Box 102  
Portland, OR 97207



Warren Krause  
<wk404@sbcglobal.net>  
03/03/2010 09:22 PM

To cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
cc  
bcc

Subject Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: cahormp@ca.blm.gov

DATE:

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I have ridden at Clear Creek since I was in high school, I am now 52, and I do not want to lose this great riding facility. It is such a piece of my personal history. I used to go out there with family and friends and camp and ride and enjoy a great outdoor experience that I carry with me to this day.

Please do not close this awesome riding park!

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the

70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologist, Karl Ford, had concerns about the study being skewed. The EPA study should be redone by a credible scientific body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider

OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on

flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

Warren Krause  
2290 Olive Ave  
Fremont, Ca.  
94539



Wesley Paik  
<wesley.paik@gmail.com>

03/03/2010 02:35 PM

Please respond to  
Wesley Paik  
<wesley.paik@gmail.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

Sincerely,

Wesley Paik,  
1625 Almond Blossom Lane  
San Jose, CA 95124



William Auth  
<bauth@dc.rr.com>

03/03/2010 01:08 PM

Please respond to  
William Auth  
<bauth@dc.rr.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

Sincerely,

William Auth,  
80420 Paseo de Nivel  
Indio, CA 92201



William Burwell  
<wburwell14@aol.com>

03/03/2010 06:49 PM

Please respond to  
William Burwell  
<wburwell14@aol.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincer

Sincerely,

William Burwell,  
2841 Liberty Rd  
Cle Elum, WA 98902



william charles  
<wwcharles@comcast.net>

03/04/2010 08:56 AM

Please respond to  
william charles  
<wwcharles@comcast.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

william charles,  
Westchem  
1151 Buckingham Drive  
los Altos , CA 94024



William Hoard  
<bhoard1@msn.com>

03/03/2010 01:34 PM

Please respond to  
William Hoard  
<bhoard1@msn.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90 day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and more importantly illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; "Changes in social and economic conditions in San

Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area." Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a "single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos." The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing

this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

William Hoard,  
Everything In Balance  
2737 Signal Creek Place  
Thornton , CO 80241-1345



william jensen sr  
<billjensen6@yahoo.com>

03/03/2010 07:33 PM

Please respond to  
william jensen sr  
<billjensen6@yahoo.com>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

william jensen sr,  
831 n beale rd #1  
marysville , CA 95901-9590



William Lyttle  
<scrape@earthlink.net>

03/03/2010 01:39 PM

Please respond to  
William Lyttle  
<scrape@earthlink.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Fri ends of CCMA. Because the 70,000 acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: "The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical." That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing 20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small

health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

Sincerely,

William Lyttle,  
225 Hillstone Drive  
Raleigh, NC 27615-4925



william meilandt  
<dtrider2@astound.net>  
04/12/2010 03:49 PM

To <cahormp@ca.blm.gov>  
cc  
bcc

Subject Clear Creek Management Area

CCMA Draft RMP/EIS  
Attn: Planning Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management  
Hollister Field Office  
20 Hamilton Court  
Hollister, CA 95023  
Email: cahormp@ca.blm.gov  
DATE: April 12, 2010

RE: Comments on Clear Creek Management Area Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The BLM should honor the February 12, 2010 formal request by the following organizations to extend the public comment period for 90 days. Those groups include; AMA, BRC, AMA D36, TimeKeepers MC, Salinas Ramblers MC, CA4WDC, ORBA, AMA D37, CORVA, and Friends of CCMA. Because the 70,000-acre CCMA is the largest destination OHV area in Central California, the BLM should grant an extension to insure they make an informed decision.

The BLM should grant a 90-day extension because the local and regional business community was largely unaware of DEIS planning process. Many shop owners attended the recent DEIS socioeconomic public meeting in San Jose and those proprietors need the extra time so they can properly articulate and document the fiscal hardships they have experienced by the current interim closure and, more importantly, illustrate the future monetary impacts of a permanent closure of CCMA to OHV recreation.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it does not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA's 2008 CCMA Asbestos Exposure and Human Health Risk Assessment is a fatally flawed study that should not be considered significant new information. A primary fatal flaw is in the study design. The EPA's sample collection script states: <sup>3</sup>The distance between riders varied depending on visibility, terrain, and safety considerations, with the ultimate objective to realistically simulate the behavior of recreational riders. The second and third trailing riders rode in the dust cloud of the lead rider, to the extent safe and practical.<sup>2</sup> That narrative does not match the BLM's ongoing

20 year educational outreach that instructs riders to not ride in another rider's dust cloud. Most, if not all, riders avoid riding in a dust cloud.

The Purpose and Need in the DEIS notes the; <sup>3</sup>Changes in social and economic conditions in San Benito County, the San Joaquin Valley, and the entire State of California have led to increased demand for use of public lands for recreation and energy production as well as an increased awareness and social value placed on the cultural and natural resources in the Planning Area.<sup>2</sup> Recent public testimony at the February 25, OHMVR Commission meeting illustrated that displaced CCMA OHV riders are overcrowding the regional OHV areas that include, Jawbone OHV Area, Hollister and Carnegie SVRAs, and Metcalf Cycle Park. A Cumulative Effect analysis must be included in this planning process.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM <sup>3</sup>finding<sup>2</sup> another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

The BLM/EPA has used inaccurate visitor use scenarios regarding time spent traveling on routes in the ACEC. They have based the human risk analysis on flawed assumptions such as stating the average speed dirt bikes on single track trails is 15-20 mph. Unless you are a national caliber rider you know speeds on single track trails average no more than 12 mph. The planning process must address this issue.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it ignored the scientific work in process at the EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response to create a new risk analysis methodology for chrysotile since there is a growing body of scientific opinion that chrysotile is not a significant public health risk. This runs counter to EPA's Region 9 hypothesis that a <sup>3</sup>single fiber of chrysotile asbestos is as dangerous as a billion fibers of chrysotile asbestos.<sup>2</sup> The BLM must analyze all current science before permanently closing CCMA to OHV recreation.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed.

If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,

William Meilandt  
30 Ronda ct.  
Walnut Creek ca. 94597



William Willis  
<bjwillis@sbcglobal.net>

03/04/2010 10:01 AM

Please respond to  
William Willis  
<bjwillis@sbcglobal.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

The DEIS is fatally flawed because it did not analyze the socioeconomic impacts to local and regional businesses including restaurants, motorcycle shops, hotels, sporting goods stores, gas stations, and grocery stores. The omission must be addressed in the FEIS/ROD.

The EPA Risk Assessment is fatally flawed because a majority of the samples were collected during dry periods when Clear Creek was closed to the public. That information was gleaned via a FOIA request that showed a personal communication from Daniel Stralka, EPA. The FOIA information also showed BLM toxicologists raised questions about the validity of the EPA study. The EPA study should be redone or at least reviewed by a qualified independent body.

The OHV community cannot rely on the BLM "finding" another OHV area to replace CCMA. The BlueRibbon Coalition submitted formal comments on the Hollister Field Office's 2007 Draft RMP/EIS petitioning the agency to consider OHV opportunity on lands outside of CCMA. However, BRC found the BLM has used a manner of reverse or circular logic to develop Alternative C which effectively banned OHV use on lands outside of CCMA. CCMA must be reopened for OHV use since the BLM has functionally eliminated motorized recreation on its other lands.

In the DEIS, the BLM makes a false assumption that OHV users would not be willing to use a wash rack at the agency's new Decontamination Center at the entrance of CCMA. Most riders would jump at the chance to wash off the infamous CCMA mud/dust off their vehicles before leaving the area. If needed, a study should be done to analyze user compliance with a wash rack before assuming and incorporating that theory into an alternative.

I support the No Action Alternative that restores historic OHV use on up to 270 miles of routes and approximately 450 acres of barrens. Permitted OHV events should be allowed. If some small health risk is identified by a new risk assessment or a review of the EPA's 2008 report, the BLM

should use signs and educational outreach as a way to inform the public rather than closing this important OHV area on a permanent basis.

Sincerely,

William Willis,  
1591 Via Buena Vista  
San Lorenzo, CA 94580



Wojtek Dolski  
<wdolski@netscape.net>

03/03/2010 08:16 PM

Please respond to  
Wojtek Dolski  
<wdolski@netscape.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Wojtek Dolski,  
8543 Oakview Dr  
Lenexa, KS 66215-4190



Zaya Taylor  
<zaya@velotech.net>

03/04/2010 09:11 PM

Please respond to  
Zaya Taylor  
<zaya@velotech.net>

To Bureau of Land Management - Hollister Field Office  
<cahormp@ca.blm.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Comments on CCMA Draft RMP/EIS

Dear Planning Coordinator,

I used to Take my kids riding at clear creek. we travel many mile to ride here and spend our money here. I want to voice my support for the recent vote by the OHMVR Commission to petition the BLM to extend the public comment period for 90 days. In the last 30 years, over \$9 million dollars of OHV Trust Funds have been granted to the BLM to manage the Clear Creek Management Area. The OHV community is being overwhelmed by an avalanche of concurrent federal planning processes. The release of the Revised RMP/DEIS was delayed for a year or more, and it seems unfair for BLM to ignore the requests for an extension when BLM's own actions seemingly reflect the complexity and sensitivity of this subject. The BLM should show respect for the public and land-use partners by granting an extension.

Sincerely,

Zaya Taylor,  
115 Sam Lee Drive  
Weaverville, CA 96093